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## NARCISSUS POETICUS AND ITS ALLIES.

By H. W. PUGSLEY, B.A.

The cultivation of Daffodils, which has lately become ono of the most fashionable of horticultural pursuits in this country, was followed with zest more than three hundred years ago, when Gerard described and figured a large number of forms in his Herbal. The infinite variety of the Narcissus londs it indeed tho pre-eminent place among the flowers of spring which in summer: belongs to the Rose; and the extreme beauty of some recent garden-hybrids, such as tho trumpet variety " Madame do Ciraal," to say nothing of still later creations liko "Peter Barr," bears eloquent testimony to the success of modern cultivators, while a glance at the poeticus form "Cassandra" at once explains how tho classical legend of the son of Cephissus arose among the Bootian Greeks.

But while present-day gardeners have striven with energy to improve tho Narcissus, very little botanical work on the genus has appeared sinco the publication of Mr. J. G. Baker's Amaryllidece in 1888; and the inalequate and unequal treatment of these plants in such an important modern work as Rouy's Flore de France is at once seen on comparing his account of Narcissus pocticus with that of the species of a genus like Viola.

The botanical investigation of Narcissi presents two principal difficulties. In the first place, a number of garden forms exist or have existed concerning whose origin there is some uncertainty. While they may he truly wild plants, it is quite possible that they are ancient hybrids, for it is certain that Niuccissi have boen cultivated in some part of Europe during many centuries. A second difficulty, which applies especially to the Poet's forms, acises from the obliteration of the flom chavacters in dried specimens. In these all traces of colouring generally vanish after a fow years, aml it is often impossible to determine the curving of the perimuthsegments and risky even to define the shape of the coronia; moreover, many exsiceata are mere scraps-llowers without bull, folinge, or fruit. Tortunately, in some cases, published figures are in existence which are nccurate and reliable, but many phtes, and notably those in recent works, leave much to bo desired.

On the other hand, the eximination of Narcissi is facilitated
by the comparative ease generally attending their cultivation (although the difference of behaviour of apparently closely allied forms under similar conditions is sometimes surprising), and wile plants do not readily become abnormal. My knowledge of living Daffodils, such as it is, is largely derived from growing during the past twenty years the greatest possible variety in the borders and grass-plot of a small suburban garden on the London Clay ; and my interest in the forms of $N$. poeticus has been stimulated by the occasional introduction of wild bulbs collected during holidays in the Swiss and Italian Alps. These wild bulbs, however, have usually failed to maintain thomselves in my heavy soil, with tho exception of some gathered in the Saas Valley, in Switzerland, at an altitude of about 6000 ft ., in July, 1909, when the flowering was past and the foliage withering. These first bloomed in 1911, and unexpectedly proved to bo the Pheasant's Eye Niarcissus (N. recurviss), matching exactly the common form of English gardens. The bulbs were taken from a hilly, alpine meadow-not far, it is true, from somo summer chalets, but several miles distint from the nearest gardens. It is difficult to understand how they could have been introduced in this situation, and as no flowors were visible at the time of collecting, the extent of the habitat could not readily be seen. In 1913, I drew the attention of M. Beauverd, of the Boissier Herbarium near Geneva, to this occurrence of $N$. recurvus, and learned from him that it also grew in another locality in the Valais, at about the same altitude, where he had previously supposed it to be an introduction, but now, after enquiries, thinks it may be indigenous. But M. Beauverd has also suggested that the plant may have sprung from seeds of flowers left to fale in the bouquets placed by the pensints in the oratories in the neighbourhood of his locality. This solution seemed to me impossible, owing to the slow development of the fruit and seed of Narcissi, until I found in 1914, by lowing bunches of whd specimens from Glion and Savoy in water for some weeks, that not only fruits but even a few seeds were actually produced. M. Beauverd's suggestion may thus be a souml one if $N$. recurvus is a fertile plant. In the garden I get no seed from it, and the Rev. G. H. Engleheart informs mo that it is usually a sparing and irregular seeder, both naturally and when cross-fertilised-which may be taken as evidence of hyrutd orienim. But the plant may develop differently in the Alps, whether wih! there or not. Its strongly marked features do not point to hybridity and can hardly bo considered intermediate between those of any of the okler known pocticus forms; judging from the recurving leaves and arcuately reflexed perianth, it would appear to bo one of the piurents of such gravden-hybrids as $N$. Lectisui "MI. M. de Graaf." As a natural species, however, its remakkble uniformity, as seen in cultivation, is in strong contrast with the variability of some other wild forms.

The consideration of the status of $N$. recurvas involves a surveg of the other forms of Poet's Narcissi, and as the literature of the subject is extensive, it is proposed to give an outline of the vicu.
of the chief British and Continental authors who have paid attention to them.

At the outset it may be well to recall that $N$. pocticus is commonly represented in English gardens of the present day by two distinct plants. One of these, sold as $N$. omatus, flowers naturally in April and is obtained much earlier by forcing. It has erect and narrow leaves, broadly obovate perianth-segments, imbricated above, and an almost flat or discoid corona. The othor form, the Pheasant's Eye (N. recurvus), never flowers before May, and is distinguished by its recurved and much larger leaves, it symmetrically recurved perianth, with oval segments laterally inflexed, and a distinctly though shortly cup-shaped coroma. Theso two plants have the facies of two distinct species.

In British botany four forms of single-flowered Poot's Nircissi were described and figured as early as 1507 in Gerad's Herbul, p. 108, viz.:-

1. N. medio purpureus. "Purple circled Daffolill." Stated to bear in the middle of the flower a small yellow coronet with is purple circle, and clearly figured as a large-flowered phant with ovate-oblong, imbricate and recurved perianth-segments.
2. N. medio purpureus precox. "Timely purple ringed Daffodill." Stated to be a somewhat lessor plant, and figured with smaller stellate flowers.
3. N. medio purpureus precocior. Distinguished by broad, flat leaves bending over at the tip, and figured with small stollate flowers ; still earlier flowering.
4. N. medio purpureus pracocissimus. The smallest plant and first to Hower; figured with stellate flowers.

According to Gerard, No. 1 flowers in April and the other three in February (Old Style Calendar).

Of these four plants one only appears as a species in Johnson's Gerard, p. 123 (1633), where it is described under Gerand's namo of N. medio purpurens, with a fresh figure copied from Dotonieus's Pemptades. Gerard's four figures are discarded, and his remaining forms are only briefly alluded to.

Meanwhile, a second elaborate account of the Poct's Nrrcissi had been printed in Parkinson's Purudisus, pp. 74-76 (1629), where, besides double-flowering plants and N. medio luteus vulgaris (Primrose Peerless), the author describes five forms. These are:-

1. N. mediocroceus serotinus. A form with narrow leaves and stellate flowers, with small round saffron-edged cup.
2. N. medio purpurens precox (p. 75, f. 3). Sinid to bo very sweet scented, with a flat yellow cup, bordored with red or puple; and shown in the figure with wavel, obovate, slighty imbricite perianth-segments.
3. N. medio parpureus serotimus. Described as having alaryer bulb, broad leaves, large llowers with imbricate segments, and the cige of the corona sometimes paler red.
4. N. medio purpureus maximus (p. 75, f. 2). Stited to be still larger in all its parts, and figured with browlly oval,
imbricate perianth-segments, and a cupped corona with seomingly a fimbriate margin.
5. N. medio purpureus stellaris (p. 75, f. 4). Said to havo narrower and greener leaves, and a less scented flower with a yellow, purple-edged corona. The figure shows narrow and distant perianth-segments.

Parkinson adds that the first of these flowers in May, tho second early in March, and the remaining three in April, about a month later (Old Style). The bulbs of 2, 4, and 5 are supposed to have been brought from Constantinople, and 3 from Gormany, France, and Italy. Gerard is not quoted by Parkinson.

These Narcissi are reduced to four species by Ray (Hist. ii. p. 1133 (1688)), who does not appear, however, to have been woll acquainted with them. His first species is $N$. medio purpureus of J. Bauhin and Gerard, and for this he cites Parkinson's N. medio pupureus serotimus and C. Bauhin's N. albus circulo purpurco as synonyms. The lescription is taken from J. Bauhin's IIistoria, and the plant is said to grow in Narbonne and Italy. Parkinson's N. medio mupureus precox and perhaps N. m. p. maximus aro included under it.

Ray's remaining species are:-
2. N. medio croceus scrotinus Park., with small loavos and stellate flowers.
3. N. medio purpureus magno flore latiore ( $N$. latifolius vii. Clus.; N. medio purpurcus maximus Park.?), a late floworing form said to grow in Styria, and probably taken from Clusius's History.
4. N. niveus odoratus circulo mbello C. Bauhin (N. latifolius vi. Clus.; N. medio purpurens stellaris Park.), \& plant with narrow leaves, stellate tlowers, and small corona, evidently copiod from Clusius, and said to be abundant above Gaming, in Lowur Austria.

It will be noticed that two of these species said by Parkinson to come from Constantinople are reiered by Ray to Austrian habitats.

Aiter the time of Ray the characters of these plants wore lost sight of in Britain : one single-flowered form only is mentional in Miller's Gardener's Dictionary, ed. I (1731), Gerard and Parkinson not even being quoted. In the eighth edition ( 176,8 ), after Linneus's description of $N$. poeticus in Species Plantarum, this form reappears under that name with $N$. allus circulo 1 mr. purco Bauhin as a synonym, and the only addition is N. albus Miller, a little-known plant possibly related to $N$. triandrus.

In 1793 a redivision of $N$. pocticus into threo species was proposed by Curtis (Botanical Magazine, No. 193) ono of which was figured as $N$. angustifolius. Curtis writes that under lle name of $N$. poeticus three different species, to us appearing perfectly distinct, and regarded as such by the old botanists, have been confounded by the moderns, viz.:-

1. Narcissus medio purpureus precox, Park. Par. (N. allme circulo purpureo, C. Bauhin).
2. Narcissus medio purpurcus serotinus, Pakk. Par. (N. albus magno odlore flore circulo pallido, C. Biwh.).

- 3. Narcissus medio luteus vulgaris, Park. Par. (N. pallidus circulo huteo, C. Bauh.).
"The two former of these havo the greatest affinity . . . having a very short nectary edged with orange . . . since the name poeticus is equally suitable to both ... we have thought best to get rich of it altogether, and to substituto for 1, angusifolius; for 2, majalis; for 3, biftorus."
"The angustifolius here figured is a native of the South of Europe and said by Magnol and Clusius to grow spontancously in the meadows about Narbonne and Montpelier. It flowers in our gardens early in April, about a month before tho biflorus, and full six weeks sooner than the majalis."

No diagnosis of $N$. angustifolius is furnished, but thero is a full synonymy cited from pre-Linnean authors. Tho plato is a faily good one, depicting a plant with narrow loaves, and a flower with narrowly obovate, spreading perianth-segments, and seemingly a small, cupped corona margined with deep red and with all the stamens exserted.

Of $N$. majalis Curtis gives no account; the name is based solely on the references to Parkinson and Bauhin. N. biflorus is the well-known plant-not a true Poet's Narcissus-which Curtis subsequently described and figured.

Soon alter Curtis's publication, $N$. angustifolius and $N$. majalis were described under fresh names by Richard A. Salisbury (Prodromus Stirp. Hort. Chapel Allerton, p. 225 (1796)), as follows:-
"N. radiflorus. Germine pyriformi; corolla laciniis incur-vulo-horizontalibus, obovatis, interioribus vix imbricatis ; corona acetabuliformi, scarioso-crenulata; antheris omnibus extra tubum.
"N. angustifolius Curt. Bot. Mag. 193, cum ic.; N. poeticus Linn. Herb. ot Sp. Pl. ed. 2, p. 414.
"A. patellárs. Germine ovali; corollo laciniis recurvulohorizontalibus, obovatis, interioribus imbricatis; corona cotyliformi, scarioso-crenulata ; anthoris tribus intra tubum.
"N. majalis Curt. Bot. Mag. sub 193; N. latifolius vii. Clusius, IIist. P1. lib. 2, p. 157.
"Germen in hoc pregrande."
Some years later (Trans. Hort. Soc. i. 365 (1812)) Salisbury subdivided N. radiiflorics into two species, but furnished no further diagnoses. The original name, with N. angustifolius Curt. and N. medio purpureus stellaris Park. as synonyms, is retained for a plant said to grow in Swiss subalpine meadows, and to flower in English gardens oarly in April. No reasons are offered for thas Gontifying a Swiss subalpine plant with the earliest tlowering gurden form known in Britain. The second species is named N. yoeticus MSS. (N. medio pupureus precox Papk.), and is statel to be the true Narcissus of the poets and to llower immediatoly atter $N$. radifforus. Silisbury mentions that he had wild roots of this plant sent by Broussonet from Montpelier, in S. Pranco. The MS. of this paper is preserved in Herb, Mus. Brit., together
with an unpublished continuation which deals further with $N$. patellaris. Of this, N. pooticus Smith in E. B. 275, N. majalis Curt., N. poelicus L. Sp. Pl. auctoritate ejus speciminis, and N. medio purpurens serotinus Pavk. are cited by Salisbury as synonyms, and the plant is said to grow wild in the alps of Siyria and Kartschia, flowering long after the others, never till June in its natural habitats. There are thus three species of Poct's Narcissi in Salisbury's later arrangement, and it will be obsorved that the Linnean specimen is now identified with $N$. patellaris instead of N. radiiflorus, as in the Prodromus.

The British Museum Herbarium contains, in addition to his manuscripts, a fine set of original drawings of various Narciss: executed by Sulisbury at various periods and labelled in his hand. writing. These include (1) tripodalis ( $=$ pocticus MS.), showin: flowers with obovate outer segments and narrower, oblong, imer ones, all contiguous, and a flat corona with only three stamens exserted; (2) radiflorus (not legibly labelled), having lloweri with narrowly obovite, distiant segments, and very small, cupular corona, with unequal stamens, all exserted, and the longer exceeding the corona; (3) patellaris, with oval, imbricated perianth-se... ments, large, cupular, fimbriate corona, and unequal stamens; and (1) curvilobus MS. ( = recurvus Haworth), the common Pheasant's Bye of present-day gardens.
N. poeticus had meanwhile appeared as a naturalized British plant in English Botany, No. 275 (1795), where Smith cites N. majalis Curt. in synonymy and adds that the Linnean Herbarium confirms that his plant is the true species of Linnwus. The brief clescription mentions that the leaves are more than hal! an inch broad ind the nectary bordered with orango or mather crimson; and the plate was drawn from a specimen collected on May 26th, 1795, near Gravesend, which is preserved in Hert. Mus. Brit. and undoubtedly represents the N. patcllaris if Salisbury. The corona of the flower, as drawn, is markedly cupular, with a crimson and peculiarly fringed margin, bencath which is a broad white zone extending almost hall-way to the base of the cup. In May, 1914, I had the satisfaction of findin: this plant still flourishing in an old gavden in North Kont.

We now co: e to the work of Adrian Hardy Haworth, whose knowledge of cultivated Narcissi has rarely been equalled till quite recent years and whose descriptions are among the most comple:e and accurate that we possess. His first publication on Narciswo was a classified list printed in Trans. Limn. Soc, i. 214 (1800), in which $N$. poeticus and $N$. angustifolius are included as distinc: species, the figure of English Botany being cited for tho former and Curtis's plate for tho latter. Hinworth next described (Sva. 11. Succ. Appendix, p. 331 (1812)) as a new species, under the name of N. recurvus, the Drooping-leaved Narcissus, the phat: now known as the Pheasant's Eye, which seems to have previouly been unnoticed. His diagnosis runs:-"N. folis semunciali" glaucis superne debiliter recurvo-dependentibus; petalis inmmic.:-, laterthus inflexis: metarin matallapi nornlicatn marame raco.....
coccineo; stigmate longitudine staminum interiorum." This new plant is distinguished from $N$. radiiflorus Sulisb., not only by its flowers but by its more glaucous, less keeled and much broader: leaves. N. poeticus, then but recently separated by Salisbury in Hort. Trans. i. p. 365 , is said to differ abundantly in Coliage, corolla and earlier flowering, and in its far more flattened and different nectary. $N$. patellaris Salisb. is stated to be a smaller and rather earlier flowering plant than $N$. recurvus and is described, "N. foliis 4-linearibus glaucis subincurvantibus, petalis imbricatis lateribus deflexis; nectario patellari luteo minutissimo plicatulo, margine subscarioso circulo albo gracili externe coccineo crenulato ; stigmate longitudine staminum interiorum." It will be observed that in breadth of foliage and colouring of corona this plant does not agree with that of English Bolany. Haworth remarks that he cin find no account of $N$. recurvus in mathors and offers no suggestions respecting its origin.

The four species thus recognized by Haworth in 1812 were described together in his Suppl. Pl. Succ. et Narciss. Revisio, pp. 148-151 (1819), as follows:-

1. N. poemicus. Foliis erectis angustis; corolle lacinie imbricantes tortie; 3 exteriores oblique recurvantes late obovatie mucrone valido, 3 interiores, fere horizontales angustiores mucrone minori ; corona matura horizontaliter expansal lutea rugosa margine scarioso crenulato subrecurvato carmosino croceove; antherte 3 longiores tubum stylumque paululum superantes, 3 intra tubum humiliores.
N. poeticus Salisb. in Hort. Trans. i. p. 365, at absque ullo charactere ; $N$. Poetarum; $N$. poeticus I. Sp. Pl. ed. 2, p. 414 , cum sequentibus indefinite ; N. medio purpureus precox Park. गiu:

Hab. in Greecia et in pratis prope Languedoc sec. Salis!) l. c. Floret in Aprilis.
2. N. radiflorus. Corollm laciniis horizontalibus spathulatoobovatis non imbricatis; corona acetabuliformi ore scarioso crenulatissimo intense coccineo ; antheris inferioribus tubo semi-inclusis.
N. radiiflorus Salisb. Prod. p. 225, et Hort. 'Hans, i. 1. 365 ; N. angustifolius Curt. 193 ; N. medio purpureus stellaris Piuk. Pau:

Hab. in pratis humidis subalpinis Helveticis sec. Salis!). I. c. Floret in Aprilis.

This is stated to have leaves scarcely narrower than in the preceding species, but a more slender scapo.
3. N. Majalis, with the diagnosis aheady upplied to $N$. patellaris under the original description of $N$. recurvis (Synop. i. c.).
N. majalis Curt. 193, at end; N. patellaris Salis1, 1'rod. 1. 2e5; N. poeticus Eng. Bot. 275 ; N. medio purpureus maximus Minli. Par. Floret Maio.

Variat a. Antheris tribus solum extra tubum exertis.
$\beta$. exertus (middle-flowering). Antheris ommibus constanter extra tubum exertis.
N. medio purpureus serotinaus Park. Par.

This variety exertus, which Maworth saw but once in a nursery it Brompton in 1809, is furthor deserihen :"s twe:....
narrow leaves, subreflexed and nearly spathulate perianth-seg. ments, and a spreading, yellow corona "circulo carmosino sermlatim erosulo."

$$
\gamma \cdot \text { plenus. N. albus multiplex Park. Par. }
$$

4. N. Recuryus, with a repetition of the original dingnosis: stated to flower in May with N. majalis and to have been cultivated before 1809.

Haworth's later views respecting these plants are embodied in his Monograph of Narcissince, pp. 14-15 (1831), where the number of poeticus-species is raised to twolve. In this work he departs from his own and Salisbury's earlier views by identifying tho specimen in the Linnean Herbarium with the $N$. majalis of his Revisio, to which he transfers the namo $N$. poeticus, renaming as ornatus the $N$. poeticus of his Revisio and of Salisbury. He ulso separates for tho first time majalis and patellaris, and introduces several new forms.

With these modifications Haworth's species stand thus:* Pracociores.

1. poetarum (saffion-cupped). Eolia glanca inferno carinata 7 lineas lata apice flaccide recurva. Corolle laciniis amplissimis cuneato-obovatis planis valdo imbricatis; corona subpatellari (mox subdiscoider), primo omnino crocea ore plicatissime crispo satura. tiore mox a basi seorsum intense lutea denique albicanto periente.
N. maximus medio purpureus Morian. Florileg. t. 144, fig. Finfer. E1. April. 1831, in hort. Londini.
2. OnNATUS (flat-crowned saffron-rim). With a diannosis abridged from that of $N$. poeticus in the Revisio.
N. poeticus Salisb. in Hort. Trans. i. p. 36ã, sine ullo charactere. N. medio puppureus pracox Park. Par. N. tripodulis Salish, Ms. ex laciniis 3 scepe semireflexis.

Floret initio Aprilis cum sequente.
3. Angustifolius (narrow-leaved saffron-rim). With tho diar. nosis of $N$. radiiflorus from the Revisio.
N. angustifolius 13ot. Mag. 193. N. radiiflorns Silish. Prod. p. 225, et Hort. Trans, i. p. 365, excl. synon. Pirkinsoni. Narcinn. Revis. p. 149.

IIabitat Helvetire pratis humidis alpinis. Floret in Aprilin. ** Nedio lempore florescentes.
4. spathulatus (lesser saffron-rim). Corolle minoris lacinias obtusis seu spathulatis, corona lutea, margine plicato crispo croces.

In hort. medio seu fine Aprilis. An varietas minor cale precocior $N$. patellaris infra?
5. Albus (slightly saffion-rimmed). Corolle mediocris hacini: exterioribus obovatis, interioribus subovatis valle imbricatin. corona patula luten, margino plicato-crispo suberoceo, mox mar. cescente albido.
N. albus Mill. Dic. ed. 8, No.5. Schult. Syst. v. 7, p. 945.).

In hort. med. Aprilis 1831.
C. Diantros (Hort.).
7. thiflorus (Hort.).
8. Biflonus Curt. Bot. Mag. 197.

## *** Seriores florendi.

9. Recurves. Diagnosed as before, with the addition "corolle laciniis late ovatis retusis cum mucrone, coronie margine sublate croceo interne parum albido sed minus quam in sequente."

Narciss. Revis. p. 151. Floret Maio, post medium.
B. gracilior. Foliis scapoque fere duplo angustioribus glaucescentibus minus planis, paucis solum recurvis sed erectis inde scapi siepius altitudine; coronie croceo margine sape tenuiore.
10. poemicus Linn. (middle-sized May). With the diagnosis of $N$. patellaris in the Synop. Appendix ( $N$. majalis of the Revisio).
N. poeticus L. Sp. Pl. et ejus herbarii ; N. majalis Curt. Bot. Mag. 193 (end) ; Narciss. Revis. p. 150.
$\beta$. flor. plen. albo.
$\gamma$. omnibus antheris exsertis. N. poeticus Red. Lil. t. 160.
11. Patellams (large, broad May). Foliis 8 lineas latis, glaucis, carinatis. Corolle amplissime laciniis orbiculato-obovatis, imbricatissimis, niveis, oris detlexis, 3 exterioribus subsomireflexis, 3 interioribus horizontalibus, corona patellari lutea superne albi ore denticulato-crispo croceo.
N. poeticus E. B. 275. N. medio purpureus maximus Park. Par.
B. fl. pleno albo cum croceo.
12. stellaris (long-petalled saffron-rim). Folia lorata viridia sive aliquantum glaucescentia. Scapus gracilis. Corollæe elongato stellares, laciniis obovato-cuneatis mucronatis tortis oris undatis reflexis distinctis, coront̂ perlutet patellari, margino pliento crenulato croceo mox intus albido; antheris omnibus subexsertis.
N. medio purpureus serotinus Park. Par.

Hort. post medium Maii.
After the publication of his Monograph Haworth contributed the account of $N$. recurvus in Sweet's British Flower Garden, No. 188 (1833), where the plant is beautifplly figured. He suggests here that it is indigenous in Southern Europe, and adds the interesting remark that it is the common Narcissus of London flower-markets in May, while the rather smaller but equally beatiful $N$. ormatus is the market flower in April.

The same volume contains another grood plate (No. 132) under the name of $N$. stellaris Haw. The accompanying description is not written by Haworth, but probably by Sweet; and the plant is shown with flat and spreading perianth-segments, a small corona with a very narrow white zone narrowly edged with brigint scarlet, and seemingly shortly ellipsoid, trigonous fruits.
$N$. poeticus L. is next dealt with in Dean Iorbert's Amaryllidacea, p. 317 (1837), where ten forms are admittod as varieties, viz.:-

> * Early flowering, April.
(1) grandiflorus Sabine MS. (Poetarum Maworth), (2) angustifolius Bot. Mag. 193, (3) ornatus (flat-crowned satfon-rim) II:w., (4) spatlulatus Haw., (5 ?) albus Haw.

Journal of Botany, Serpr. 1915. [Supplement IL.]

## *: Late flowering, May.

(6) majalis Eng. Bot. 275, (7) recurvus, (8) patellaris, (9) stcl. laris Haw., (10) verbanensis (tab. 37-2) -a diwarf form with very narrow leaves and reflexed perianth-segments tinged with yollow at the base, growing in a pasture by Lake Magrore, noar Baveno.

Of these varieties Herbert stites that he does not know ornatus, spathulatus, or albus, and as the first of these was a common market flower in his day (vide Haworth's statement above), it may be assumed that, like Curtis, ho confused it with angustifolius. From this it is fairly clear that his knowledge of Poet's Narcissi lacked the critical accuracy shown by Maworth. The figure of var. verbanensis, which is someswat erude, portrays a slender plant with oblong or elliptic, acute and slightly reflexid perianth-segments, and a small, cupped corona with 3 stimens only exserted. An apparently authentic specimen preserved in Herb. Kew shows narrow and rigidly dellexed perianth-segmentsa feature that may be suspected of resulting from the flower boin! ahready faded when pressed, and not a real character of the living plant. The dwarf Narcissi of the hills above Baveno certainly do not show such flowers, and their perianth-segments are gencrally broad and imbricated. Besides the variety verbanensis, mujulis, resurvus and patellaris are figured by Herbert, but their features are ambiguous and it is not easy to see what differences are intended to be indicated.

After Herbert's time, interest in Daffodils languished in Britain, and no further systematic work on them appeared till Burbidge \& Baker's The Narcissus was published in 1870. In this book all the forms are placed under one species, $N$. pocticus $L$., of which the type is said to flower late in April. Five varieties are mderl, viz. radiiforus ( $N$. angustifolius Curb.), stellaris (N. stellaris ITaw.), recurvus ( $N$. recurvus Hiw.), poetarion ( $N$. poetarum Haw.), figured as aurantiacus, and verbanensis Herbert; and it is noted that N. spathulatus Haw. scarcely differs from var. radiiflorus, and that N. omatus Haw, is similiu to var. poctarme.

Soon after the publication of The Narcissus the late Mr. Peter Barr began to revive interest in the cultivation of Daffodils, and for several years endeavoured to re-collect and identify the phants described by Haworth and ot or older botanists, some of which had been almost forgotten. The result of this work appeared in $188 \pm$ in a fresh classified list printed in the Florist and Pomoloyist, and practically reproduced the same year in Ye Narcissus or Daffodyl Flowre, in which F. W. Burbidge collaborated. This lis: has formed the basis of modern horticultural catalogues, and in it (p. 101) Barr remarks that N. poeticus clivides naturally into carly and late flowering varieties, and proceeds to follow Haworth, hai with some important alterations. His arrangement of 'oou's Nareissi stands thus:-

## * Early flowering.

angustifolius (radiiforus), perianth narrow, cup margined orange. red; ornatus (lhat-crowned saffron-rim), perimith broml and we!!
formed, cup margined with scarlet; grandiflorus, perianth very large, cup suffused with crimson; poetarim (saffron-cupped), perianth broad, cup suffused with orange-scarlot; spathitulus (lesser saffron-rim), cup small, edged with saffron (said to be out of cultivation) ; tripodalis, cup full size and margined with salfion.

## ** May flowering.

poeticus of Linnæus (middle size), flower one inch in diamoter, sturdy and finely formed; recurvus (drooping-leaved), perianth reflexed, with a slight doubling inwards longitudinally; majalis (Herb. Amaryll. pl. 40, fig. 2), perianth well formed and generally flat, cup edged with saffion, leaves erect; stellaris, with bladerlike spathe, cup margined with saffron; patcllaris (hargo, broad-petalled)-N. purpureus maximus Park. tab. 75, fig. 2-perianth flat, finely formed, with large cup edged with saffron, loaves crect; verbanus (verbanensis), perianth slightly tinged with crerm, cup edged with saffron; in gardens taller and larger-flowered than IIcrbert's figure.

On comparing this arrangement with that in Haworth's Monograph, it will be first observed that omatus and tripodalis appear as distinct plants, whereas tripodalis is simply quoted by Haworth as a synonym for the other. Barr's ornatus, howover, is not the plant of Haworth, but the common early-flowering form of the present day sold under that name, which Barr appoirs to have transferred to it, leaving Haworth's original orinatus as tripodalis. It is remarkable how completely this new plant has displaced Haworth's species, which in 1833 was the common English market Narcissus during the month of April. The change is no doubt due to the more beautiful Hower of the newer plant, and perhaps also to a more vigorous habit. I understand from Mr. P. R. Barr and Rev. G. H. Engleheart that this modern ornatus was introduced into Britain, probably about 1870, through H. Vilmorin, of Paris, who obtained it first in the South of Prance.

Of saffron-cupped plants Barr gives two forms, viz.: poetarum, described by Haworth, and grandiflorus, following a name substituted by Herbert for poctarum, but now taken to represent a separate form not previously distinguished. Among the late flowering forms the most noteworthy points are that Barr distinguishes stellaris by its bladder-like spathe, a curious feature not mentioned by any of the older writers and not shown in Sweot's very accurate figure ; and introduces pocticus of Limmeus as it plant distinct from $N$. majalis and characterized chietly by its extremely small flowers.

Barr's arrangement of these plants was soon-followed by an ontirely different classification in Mr. Baker's Amaryllidece, 1, 11 (1888), where one species, N. pocticus L., is almitted, with it subspecies, $N$. radiiflorus Salist. (N. angustifolius Curt.). The specific type is said to flower in May, and N. patellaris Haw. (1. B. 275), N. spathulatus Haw., N. stellaris Haw., N. recurvus Haw., N. poetarum Huw. and N. (ripochalis Sulis!), (N: ornatus

Haw.) are reduced to the rank of forms. The subspecies radnflorus is distinguished by its narrower lawes, slender pedunclen. and perianth-segments cuneately narrowed in the lower hall; and of this $N$. verbanensis is considered a dwarf form. No allusion is made to differences in the form of the corona.

By this date the great modern revival of Daffodil growing in Britain had fairly begun, as is evident from the list of one humared best Narcissi which Burbidge was able to recommend for cultix. tion in Journ. Roy. Hort. Soc. xi. p. 02 (1889), tho Poct's forms included being N. pooticus, N. omatus, N. poctarum, N. gramis. florus, $N$. recirvis, $N$. patellaris, $N$. majalis, N. " Mavel" asd $N$. stellaris. It may be noticed that $N$. angustifolius and $\cdots$. tripodalis do not figure in this list, but both of them wore exhinited at the Daffodil Conference of April, 1890 (Journ. Roy. Mort. Soc. xii, p. 365). The form with inflated spathe which Barr refersel to stellaris is presumably that intended by N. "Miwvel," under which name it has been subsequently sold.

In 1903 the Rev. E. S. Boume issued his Book of the Dafforlit. in which (p. 25) a list of poeticus-forms is given, closely followin: Barr's but recognizing a connection botweon onvustifolius and verbanensis. This list attributes a probable Italian ormon to tho modern ornatus.

The horticultural feature of quite recent years among tho Narcissi is the second disappearance from English gardens of many of the older forms which Barr re-collected, this time owing to the advent of a multitude of modern hybrids, some undoubsitly of superior beauty. Among the Poet's forms $N$. recurvus and Barr's ornatus havo held their own and are porhaps prown in greater myriads than ever, but it has again bocomo diflicult to find patellaris, majalis, stellaris, angustifolius, and ornatas of Haworth, which seen well on the way to extinction in Citcst Britain. This renders it additionally desirablo to put on record the history and characters of these plants, which so long mlornol the gardens of our ancestors and are the parents of our modero hybrids.

Turning from British to Continental writers, wo find Narcisas poeticus mediocrocens purpureus describod as an carly floweri: plant of Southern Prance by Lobel in Stirp. AdveNiov, 1, is (1570), and three forms were recognized as anty as 15,43 is Dodonæus's Stirp. Hist. Pemptades, p. 223, under the nat:o of N. medio purpureus, a figure of the plant boing furnishod.

In the Hist. Rav. Pl. of Clusius (v. ii, p. 150 (1601)) three e! these plants are separately described. Tho first is bu: breet'y diagnosed without a distinguishing namo and is said to grom is meadows in Nambonne. The second, N. latifolius vi, vefermes is as abundant above Gaming, in Lower Austria, is state ! to lase narrow leaves, sweetly-scented, stellate flowers, with a sma!!, :ol. edged corona (parvum calicem), unequal stanens and the: we fruits. Of the thind, $N$. latifolus vii, Clusius remarhs: " 1 an: reliquis folia... flos magnus, odoratus, sox albis foliis mom..... calicem pallidum cingentihus cujus fimbria ex pallito pur!uan :
flori succedit satis crassum triangulare caput . . . Madix superiore crassior." This is a late flowering plant, presumed to have been brought from Styria, and noticed by Clusius in garclens at Wrankfort.

The three species of Clusius were maintained in the Pinax of Caspar Bauhin (p. 48 (1623)) under the names of $N$. albus circulo murpureo, N. nivens odoratus circulo rubello, and N. albus magno odore flore circulo pallido respectively. For the first of these N. mediopurpureus pracox Gerard is quoted as a synonym, and for the third N. mediopupureus Ger. Icon. Bauhin (l.c. p. 49) furthov admits two other species, viz. N. all. circulo crocio vel luteo ( $N$. medio-puppureus precox Ger. Icon.), which difters from the firstnamed chietly in the colour of the corona-ring ; and, lastly, N. albas circulo croceo minor.

In Johann Bauhin's Mist. Plant. ii, p. 600, published as a posthumous work in 1651 but written before his brothor's Pinax, three species of Poet's Narcissi appear. The first, N. metio purpureus, is figured and described at some length, the salient features being " iolia latitudine semunciali . . . florem medium obtinet corona fimbriata ori rubenti, infra quam circulus exabidus, aliusque huic subjectus luteus; sena in fistuloso cimali stamina, tria modice elata, reliqua tantillum apice proferentia." Tho plimb intended, which the figure shows to be similar to that described by Gerard under the swme name, is said to flower in gardens at Bale during May, and to grow also in gardens in Belgium, Germany and England. It is also referred to as a nativo plint at Narbonne. J. Bauhin's second species is N. medio-purpureus magno flore folio latiore (Clusius Hist. vii), which seems to be takon from Clusius without personal knowledge of tho plant; and his third is N. mediopurpureas minor, which is the N. latifolius vi.of Clusius. This is clearly figured with stellate flowers and narrow perianth-segments. The early-flowering flat-crowned plant is not mentioned in the Historia, and was probably confused with N. medio-purpureus, as was afterwards done by Ray.

After Bauhin's time interest in these plants seems to have abated, although their differing forms were still recognized by Haller and Magnolius ; and it is fairly certain that during the eighteenth century most types of Narcissi became unfashionable, both in botany and horticulture. The varying forms of Poet's Narcissi known to the older botanists were accordingly represented in Linneus's Spec. Plant. ed. 1, p. 289 (1753) by ono species, Narcissus poeticus, and this alone continued to be recognized on the Continent till long after the days of Salisbury and Haworth.
N. poeticus was described by Linneus thus:-"N. spatha uniflora, nectarii limbo rotato brevissimo scarioso erenulato, LIort. Ups. 74. N. foliis ensiformibus floris nectario rotato brevissimo, Hort. Cliff. 134. Roy. Lugdb. 35. Sauv. Mons. 17. N. albus circulo pmopureo Bauh. Pin. 48. N. medio purpucus Dot. Pempt. 223.
" 3 . N. medio purpureus mulliplex Bauh. Pin. 54.
"Habitat in G. Narbonensi, Italia."

It will be seen, alike from the rotate corona, the reference in Bauhin, and the habitats cited, that Linneus had primurily in view an anly-flowering, flat-crowned form.

The sheet of N. pocticus in the Linnean Herbarium is a garden specimen showing two flowers and one leaf in fair preservation. As a type it is not very adequate, but it can still be scon that the leat is 5 mm . broad, and the flowers of moderate sizo, with a thick spathe, deep green perianth-tube, oblong, mucronato segments not much marrowed below, and the corona apparently cup-shaped with the stamens hidden owing to lateral compression when drying. Though its precise characters may be indeterminable, the specimen certainly recalls the $N$. patellaris of Salisbury and the plant figured in Einglish Botany.

One of the finest existing plates of $N$. pooticus was producal in 1807 in Redoute's Liliacea, iii, No. 160. The accompmying text refers to the plant as a native of meadows of the temperato part of Europe, flowering in May, and two varieties are mentioned, a. latifolius, having leaves $15-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, and b. angustifolius (N. angustifolius Curtis), with leaves only $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad. The latter variety is that depicted in the plate, where the flower is shown with spreading, obovate perianth-segments, imbricate above and narrowed in the lower half much as in Barr's ormatus, and with a nearly flat, yellow corona, narrowly olged with red without any white zone, and showing six exsorted and subequal stamens. Redoute's description suggests no fioral distinctions between his two varieties.

In $18 \pm 3$ Koch (Synopsis Fl. Germ. ed. 2, p. 811) soparated from $N$. poeticus as a distinct species tho slendor, narrow-leaved form with stellate flowers and cupular corona which occurs in various mountain and subalpine districts of Central Europo and had ahready been distinguished by pro-Linnean authors. This ho described as N. radiiflorus Salisb. Prod. 225, and differentiated the two plants thus:-
" $N$. poeticus L. ... ovario sub anthesi compresso-ancipiti, coroni in patellam planiusculam expansi... staminibus tribis stylum paulum superantibus, tribus brevioribus fauci inclusit, perigonii laciniis ovatis. In pratis ete. reg. calid.
" N. radiiflorus Salisb. . . . ovario sub anthesi toreti, corona cupulari erecti . . . staminibus omnibus cum antherit tubo longioribus. In montosis et subalpinis. Bulbus magis oblongís guan in precedente, planta minor, folia et caulis angustiona, ovarium gracilius oblongum . . . lacinice perigonii angustiores, makis dissitie, albre quidem . . . nec nivere, corona brevis, a binsi crecta, non explanata. . . ."

This account of $N$. radiifforus recalls Clusius's description of N. latifolius vi from Lower Austria, except in the form o! tho fruit.

Koch's two species are illustrated in Reichonbach's Icones. v. 9, pl. 364 (1847). The figure of $N$. pocticus (No. sus) is m. different, appearing to represent a dwarf plant with a dirty whishls perimen and small cupped corom, which is perhaps not the
author's intention. The other figure, N. radiiflorus (No. 809), is more satisfactory, and fairly depicts a form of the plant described by Koch with narrow perianth-segments.

Tho next important European Flora to appear, Grenier is Godron's Flore de France, admits (v. iii, p. $250(1855)$ ) one species only, N. poeticus L., defining the corona as "tres courte, ditalée on corpe." Parlatore's II. Italiana, v. iii, p. 116 (1858), alopts Koch's two species, but amends the diagnosis of N. pocticus L . thus: ". . perigonii laciniis subreflexis, interioribus ovato-oblongis ...e exterioribus latioribus late elliptico-obovatis ... staminibus superioribus corona plus quam duplo brevioribus." Willkomm is Lange (Fl. Hispanica, v. i, p. 156 (1861)) describo N. pocticus L. as inhabiting the mountain region of Contral and Eastem Spain, but do not refer to $N$. radiiflorus.

In 1866 Schur in Plant. Transsilv., p. 657, describer as a now species $N$. seriorflorens, a late-flowering Transylvamian plant closely related to $N$. radiiflorus, having diety-white, obtuse, mucronulate perianth-segments and a very smal!, suboliscoil corona. Three years later, in Esterr. Bot. Zeitsch., xix, p. 205, the same author described another similar Austrian plant as $N$. stellifloms. This is characterized by stellate flowers with obtuse, mucronate segments, a slightly cupped co:ona about 8 mm . broad and 2 mm . deep, and a compressed and obovate ovary. Schur expresses doubt as to its distinctness from his $N$. seriorflorens.

In Boissier's Flora Orientalis, v, p. 150 (1881), N. ralliiflorus Salisb: Prodr. is included as a native of the mountains of Northern Greece and of Mt. Clita, the description agreeing with that of Koch and Parlatore, with Reichenbach's figure ( NO .809 ) cited in illustration. Halacsy (Conspectus Fl. Griec. iii, p. 202 (1904)) gives $N$.poeticus L. as a Greek plant in addition to $N$. radiiflorus. Like Boissier, he generally follows the descriptions of Koch and Parlatore, and he cites Redoute's figure for $N$. poeticus, quoting as its habitats Chaliki, in Thessaly; Neuropolis in Mt. Pindus; and Bcotia.

The most recent arrangements of these plants are those in Ascherson \& Graebner's Synopsis Flora Mitteleuropa (1906) and Rouy's Flore de France (1912).

Âschersou i\& Graebner (l.c., v. iii, p. 396) recognize one species only, $N$. poeticus ${ }^{T}$., with a subspecies, $N$. angustifolius Curbis (N. radiiflorus SalisL, Prodr.; Koch, Synop. ed. 2, p. S11). Tho plant regarded is the specific type seems to be the N. pocticus of Koch an? Parlatore, but N. majalis Curt. is the only synonym quoted. Tho perianth-segments are described as obovate imd imbricate. The account of the subspecies anymstijolius (I.c., p. 397) coincides sufficiently with that of Koch and Pirhatore, but, as in the specific type, the form of the corona is undelined, and it is left to be inferred that this orgim is flat in the type mind not so in the subspecies.

At the end of the diagnosis of the type the authors romak: "Ziomlich ver:inderlich in Gärten: von den zahlreichon Formen sind bemerkenswerth :-
"B. spathulatus (N. spathulatus Haw.) [Howers smaller, earlier than in the type]; C. poetarmu (N. poetaram Haw.) [flowers larger with reddish coron:]; D. tripedalis (sic) (N. ormatus Haw.) [with narrower, reflexed perianth-segments]; E. patellar is (N. patellaris Salisb.) Crobust, with imbricated perianth-segments; a frequent gavden plant; late-flowering] ; F. stellaris (N. stellaris Haw.) lateflowering, to which, according to Baker, belongs N. recurvus Liaw., with drooping leaves]."

This list of lorms has evidently been taken bodily from Mr. Baker's Amaryllidece, with results not entirely fortunate. It may well be doubted whether $N$. spathulatus flowers earlier than the authors' type, which does not seem to be the plant regarded in this light by Mr. Baker; and the statement that N. patellaris, now noarly extinct in British gardens, is a frequent garden plant seems open to question, although it may still be correct for Commany, where it was observed by Clusius. But it appears possible that the collaborateurs have confused it with N. recurvas, which they have identified with $N$. stellaris through a curious misroading of Mr. Baker's text.

Under the subspecies angustifolius Ascherson \& Graebner , mive three further forms, viz: : B. verbanensis, the plant described ly Herbert; C. fallax (N. radiiflorus, f. fallax Beck), with brouler, imbricated perianth-segments, found in Herzegovina and Kïstealand ; and D. stelliflorus (N. stelliflorus Schur), stated to have smaller flowers and shorter, obovate ovary.

Rouy's Flore de France, v. xiii, p. 53 (1912), follows the arrangement of Ascherson \& Graebner, modified by the reduction of N. angustifolius Curt., for which the name N. radiiflorus Sulist. Prodr. is used, from a subspecies to a "race." N. majalis Cur:. is cited as a synonym of the type, but of Salisbury's N. patellaris and all of Haworth's names no mention is made, and no varicitio are included except what seems to be the colour-form sulphuress previously noticei by Grenier \& Godron. N. biflorus Curt: follows $N$. radiiftorus as a subspecies of $N$. poeticus. In view of the abundance and variety of Poet's Narcissi known to prow in France either as wild or naturalized plants, and the repestiol allusions to the occurrence of different forms there by pres. Linnean and other early authors, this cursory treatmont is tame unsatisfactory.

The botanical history of the Poet's Narcissi hns now leven generally traced. It has been seen that several forms were dintio. guished by early authors, chiefly in the first hall of the seventera: century, both in Britain and on the Continent. They were know: to the British writers as inhabitants of the gation, hui Clana. and the two Bauhins treated them also as wifl plants and ,ato: ! -some information respecting their origin. Tho work of :1..... early botanists, however, seems to have been permanen!! ace looked by later Contiwental writers, who, sinco the time of Limahave recognized not more than two species, both widuly dian buted natives of Southern Europe, and havo almont cu:dicir neglected the other forms, which havo been reginded nulit .is
plants of the garden. In Britain, neglect of these Narcissi has not been so continuous, for a recrudescence of interest in them took place early in the nineteenth contury, when they were dealt with first by Salisbury and afterwards more elaborately by I Eaworth, while again in recent years they have commanced attention, though mainly from a horticultural standpoint. Haworth's work is especially valuable from his detailed knowledge of a wide mange of the living plants, but it must be observed that, although familiar with Parkinson, he does not attempt to idontify his species with those of Gerard, Clusius and Bauhin, and appaiently knew nothing of the wild forms in their native habitats.

It is evident from the recognition of soveral distinct forms of these Narcissi by these early writers that, when living, they wo phants of different facies. As has been shown, Clusius, who mentions three, himself saw and distinguished two wild forms, one in Narbonne and the other in Austria, and of the third, which ho noticed in grardens at Frankfort, he endeavoured to ascertatin the origin. Caspar Bauhin seems to have satisfactorily illentitiel these three plants of Clusius, besides distinguishing two additional forms ; and Magnolius (Bot. Monsp. p. 181 (1676)) mentions two of Bauhin's forms, one flowering early and the other late, as natives of Montpelier in the South of Erance.

It is not a little remarkable that in contrast to this more critical treatment by pre-Linnean authors, modern botanists havo admitted one or two species only in this group. This is apparently explained to a large extent by the reliance of many recent writers on herbarium material, in which, as pointed out at the opening of this paper, the floral characters are largely obliterated or even rendered misleading, while the fruit, if not absent, is invariably indeterminable. And no serious effort seems to have beon made since the time of Haworth to defino accurately the garden forms and correlate them with those of known wild origin.

Since discovering Narcissus recurvus in the Alps I havo endeavoured to obtain, for the purpose of describing them from life, fresh examples of the greatest possible number of forms of Poet's Narcissi, both wild and cultivated, and I think I have succeeded in securing all the older British garder plants, exceptiog spathulatus, albus, dianthos, triflorus, recurvus var: "racilior and stellaris of Haworth, verbanensis Herbert and Barr's " Iitwol." Mr. P. R. Barr and the Rev. G. H. Engleheart have kindly -a isted me in obtaining some of my desiderati. Of the foms not now reatily obtainable in England, N. radiiflorus and N. majulis aro still grown for sale at Lissadell, in Ireland, and N. Wipodulis Salisb. by Messis. W. B. Mrartland, of Cork. In the caso of cerbanensis, I have been obliged to rely on my recollection of tho Whant as I saw it in abuntance on the hills round Lake Marosiore in 1008, to supplement my herbarium specimens.

Before attempting to estimato the relationship between tho wild and cultivated forms in this group, it is desimble to draw attention to the excessire variability sometimes seen with the former as contrasted with the comparative miformity of many of
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the garden forms ; and it has been suggested with reason that this uniformity does not occur among wild Narcissi and is due to descent by bulb division from selections of the wild plants. Within certain limits this is no doubt right, for collectors would naturally choose the most shapely flowers-commonly those with broad perianths-when these show appreciable differences. But the variations in the wild Narcissi of any given habitat will probably be found, when analysed, to be less essential than is sometime; supposed. In the noighbourhood of Chateau d'Oex, in Switzer. lumd, the Narcissus grows in myriads, and on first visiting tho slopes whitened with its flowers, one's impression is that no two are precisely alike. Similar conditions prevail at Les Avants, as is well shown even in some of the local picture posteards. But, on examining these plants, it will be seen that the differences are mainly those of the perianth, the form and curvature of which are remarkably divergent, giving quite different aspects to different flowers. There are also considerable gradations in the length of the style, and the development of the fruits is by no means uniform. In all these states, however, the foliage, corona and stamens remain well-nigh unaltered, the variations of the corona being confined to the breadth and depth of colour of tho reddish margin. Indeed, all these plants evidently belong to one species; and the conditions being such in two well-known stations for the Swiss Narcissus, it may be doubted whether the variations in the forms prevalent in other countries are not always mainly confined to the perianth, and whether gradations of the corona from the discoid to the cupular form or of the relative positions of the lon; and short stamens are ever met with unless through hybridity of distinct forms growing in juxtaposition. Moreover, truly wiht Poet's Narcissi do not always vary greatly in their native habitat. as may be seen on Mount Mottarone, above Lake Magniore, whe: the dwarl form verbanensis grows in abundanco and is relatively uniform. It can thus be inferred that while forms selected frotis wild habitats may show comparatively uniform porianths which differ considerably from the average of the wild condition of tho same species, and while such difference is perpetuated by roc:division, it is highly improbable that divergencies in tho sat:o degree would ever be found in the foliage, corona or stamens.

This leads to a consideration of what organs must bo regarlal as the most important for affording specific characters amona these Narcissi: and the examination of extensivo find varios material has resulted in the following conclusions. The bulb $: s$ different forms shows a certain degree of variation in shap. ranging from ovoid-elongate to subrotund, and there aro ain differences in the colouring and texture of tho scales. It is commonly larger in cultivated than in wild plants, us mind: b expected, but only in a few cases do its featuros seem clas:y characteristic. In the foliage the differences aro stmatl, lut a $\cdots$ of them are seemingly constant. Narrow leaves aro nemes...? channelled and keeled, and broad ones distinctly thater. Ia cin or two forms the lewl tips are rellexed and drooping. The simin.
and pedicel within the spathe are much slenderer in some forms than in others, and vary also in compression and fineness of striation; the spathe shows gradations of length and thickness, and in one form it is inflated. Tho perianth differs very considerably in different forms, both in shape and texture; in some of these its shape and curvature are most inconstant, while in others, notably in $N$. recurvus, its uniformity is equally remarkable. In weak and starved plants the segments are generally narrower, less regular and more twisted than in more luxuriant individuals. The coronas of the various forms are unequal in size, and in shape range from flat and discoid, or even slighitly conver, to distinctly cupular or cup-shaped; in rugosity and degree of plication of the margin there is also much variation, as well as in colouring, a peculiar white zone appearing in some forms within the red or crimson edge. The characters of the corona seem to be practically permanent in each form. The stamens, which are never equal in the generic section Eu-Narcissus, seem, in all of the plants that I have examined, except quite recent hybrids, to exhibit regulaly one of two forms, which are termed in this paper "unequal" and "subequal." In the first of these, the anthers of the three shorter stamens are included in the perianth-tube and their tips only slightly exceed the anther-bases of the longer exserted stiunons; in the second, all of the anthers are more or less exserted, and those of the three shorter stamens do not greatly fall short of the others. No good distinguishing features have been observed in the stigmas or in the style, which seems to vary in length in most forms and especially in such wild plants as I have examined. The fruit, which is not readily produced in the garden, and was ignored by Haworth in his descriptions, may be developed in almost every cultivated form by keeping fertilized flowers in wate: after the fading of the perianth. The shape of the capsules thus obtained appears to be constant in each form, allowing for the unequal development of the seeds that at times occurs, but it varies very greatly in the different forms. Thus, in N. radiiflorus the fruits are terete and narrowly oblong or pyriform, while in other plants they are trigonous or trilobate, and in one form nearly globose. My experience with wild plants is not sufficient to confirm that a characteristic form of fruit equally distinguishes every wild form, but such would appear to be probable. I have not succeeded in detecting any appreciable differences in the seeds.

It results from these conclusions that among Poot's Narcissi the bulb, foliage, scape, perianth, corona, stamens and fruit may all exhibit characters useful for the determination of species. Modern authors have very generally laid tho groatest stross on the shape of the perianth-segments, which is ustually visible in herbaria, but as this is undoubtedly very variable in certain wild forms, it seems doubtful whether it is of equal importance with the shape of the corona, the arrangement of the stimens and tho form of the fruit-characters which, though obscured in dried specimens, appear to be constant or suscoptible to little variation. In this connection it may be remembered that the form of the
corona is the basis of Mr. Baker's primary division of the remus. Major A. H. Wolley-Dod informs me that on the Italian Riviera the perianth of the wild Narcissus Tazetta also is remarkably variable.

The features of the corona in some of the species of the older botanists have been obscured by the curious terms that have been used in its description. The word "patellaris," which was first employed by Salisbury as a specific epithet for the broad-leaved, May-flowering form well known in his day has been especially misunderstood by recent writers, who have assumed that it indicates a that or plate-like corona. That this is not so may bo seen from Salisbury's original diagnosis, in which the corona is termed "cotyliform"-like a cup or liquid-measure; and this is further confimed by Salisbury's own drawings of $N$. patcllaris, wher this organ is clearly depicted as cupular in form. Tho tern "patellaris" was likewise accepted in the same sense by IIaworth, who applied it to the forms with cup-like coronas, such as recurus, patellaris and stellaris, while for the flat crowns the term "subdiscoidea" or "complanatim expansa" is commonly used. Amore abstruse point of this kind is the exact meaning of "acetabuliform," as applied to the corona of $N$. radiijlorns by Salisbury in contrast to the cotyliform corona of N. patellaris: and copied by Haworth. In these two plants the coronit is almost equally cup-shaped, but in N. radiiflorus it is very much smaller than in the other and is so represented in Silishury's drawings. An "acetabulum" was a small cup or measure of is pint, while a "xorìn" was a cup or measure of $\frac{1}{2}$ pint, and is appears probable, though at first sight it looks far-fetched, tha: in using the terms "acetabuliform" and "cotyliform," Salishury simply intended to convey that $N$. radiiflorus had a small, cuppe! coronia only one quarter as large, in cubical content, as tho cu! w! N. patcllaris-which is indeed about the true proportion. Ihe, difference in the size of the corona in these two chasses of plani, is mentioned by Clusius, who speaks of the "parvun calicem" of the one and the "medium calicem" of the other.

It now remains to estimate tho affinities of these polymorphice plants and to place them in a natural arrangoment. The fact tha: they have sometimes been regarded as all belonging to a singing species, while other authors have admitted several species mona; them, culminating in the twelve of LIaworth's Monorraph, is sullicient evidence that they must be tre eded as critical foman. Whether, when divided as by Salisbury and Haworth, the ses.a.a! species possess the average valuc of species among kimhed :ana, cotyledonous genera, I hesitate to say, but to unite then a! ... one, viewing the different forms as subspecies, ritces, varna: . . and giaden-forms, seems scarcely possible in view of the rtan fant of species adopted in the recent Monographs of the Crocunc, a: Irises, gencra with which Narcissi may reasonably bo combnat It is clear that the wild forms in their difforent habitats hare :.. : yet received due attention from botanists having it mot and .... knowledge of the whole grouy, and sufficient aceunate knionnan .
has not yet been accumulated to enable many of them to be separated as species or united as varieties under others with any great degree of confdence. I therefore think it will serve the most useful purpose in this papor to maintain, as it tentative measure, such species already described as scem to be plants clearly separable from each other in apparently important chameters, and to give specific rank also to my other forms that may seem equally distinct. This involves the recognition of several of tho species of Haworth.

The most ciefinite means of segregating this group of Nareissi, and the one most generally adopted in modern botany is that proposed by Koch (Syn. Hil. Germ. l. c.), in which two species wo achmitted. The first, $N$. poeticus L., is dingrosed as a plant with ovate perianth-serments, a that corona, three stamens includod in the perianth-tube and compressed fruits, while $N$. radiiflorus is specifically distinguished as a slenderer plant, with hatrowor leaves and perianth-segments, a cupular corona, all the stimens exceeding the perianth-tube and narrower, terete fruits. The two plants have usually been kept apart by subsequent authors, either as species or subspecies, but their distinguishing chanteters, which Koch accurately pointed out, have been largely lost sight of, and the features of the perianth, which show the most obvious difterences in the herbarium, have been generally emphasized as of the chief importance.

Of the two plants described by Koch it will be seen that his N. poeticus agrees in its flat corona with the N. medio-purpurens pracox of Parkinson and Gerard, which is the $N$. allus circulo purpureo of Bauhin's Pinax, cited for N. poeticus by Linneus, who likewise emphasises the tiat or rotate corona. It is also identical with N. poeticus Salisb. in Hort. Trans. i. 365, which Haworth, who was Salisbury's contemporary, states is synonymous with N. tripodalis Salisb. MSS. (Mon. Narciss, l. c.). This identity is confirmed by figures 1 and 2 of the plate of this paper, which have been reproduced from Salisbury's own drawings of his tripodulis; and the plant itself, which the older British authors described from the garden and Salisbury received from Montpelier, may still be obtained in Ireland for comparison.

In the case of N.ruliiflorus Koch's description and. Salisbury's original brief diagnosis in his Prodromes offer no essential conteactictions. Its lloral characters are well seen in the phato of this paper, figures $3-5$, the originals of which wero dribwn by Salisbury, and the exact form of the flower and of tho narrow fruit inay be further corfmed from fresh Lrish-rrown phants, is already mentioned. Koch cites several Austrian stations for N. radioflorus, which, although fimmilan to Liglish gradeners of the eighteenth century, was not certainly cultivated hore at it much ewrlier date. It may possibly be tho N. medio-purpureus precocissimus of Gerard, but of plants with stellate llowers Pakinson seems to have known but one form, N. medio-purpureus stellaris, which is more probubly N. stellaris Haworth-it phat lor subsequent consideration.

It is to be regretted that when Koch drew up his account of N. pooticus and N. radiiflorus, he did not also deal with the lateflowering plant distinguished by Curtis as N. majalis and by Salisbury as $N$. patellaris. This may have resulted from his acquaintince with it as a garden-form only, and he perhaps did not consider it a possible native of Central Europe. But tho treatment has proved unfortunate, for Koch's method appears to have been generally followed by succeeding authors in treating of the Poet's Narcissi of other European countries and the distinctness of $N$. majalis bas been consequently overlooked. Curtis and Salisbury certainly thought it the most striking plant of tho group, for their earliest work was to separate it from the two above-mentioned early-flowering forms which at first they failed to distinguish. It will be seen, too, by referring to the synonyms which they cite, that the pre-Linnean writers also were woll acquainted with this plant, and the likeness of the $N$. mediopurpureus figured in the works of Gerard and J. Bauhin to tho drawings of Salisbury's N. patcllaris accompanying this paper (figs. 6 and 7) is at once apparent. The characteristic colouring of the cupped corona of this Narcissus is mentioned by Clusius (N. latifolius vii), described in some detail by J. Bauhin under N. medio-purpureus (Historia, l.c.), and is reflected in Caspar Bauhin's name $N$. allus magno odore flore circulo pallido. In Britain it seems to have formerly been one of the best known of garden Narcissi, for it is the N. medio-purpureus of Gerard, and its extensive cultivation is shown by its naturalisation in cortain localities, which led to its introduction into English Botany as N. pooticus. Although the arrangement of the stamens in this plant coincides with that of tho flat-crowned $N$. poeticus, tho recurved perianth with very different corona (well seen in Salisbury's drawings), and the much more triquetrous fruit lend it the aspect of a distinct species.

The nomenclature of the three plants so far distinguished is not without difficulty, for it will have been noticed that while Linneus's description of $N$. pocticus in Species Plantarum clearly points to a flat-crowned plant ("nectarii limbo rotato") and his name has been thus interpreted by Koch and other modern Continental botanists, the specimen in his herbarium resembles the English Botany form, as was observed by Sir James Smill, Salisbury and Haworth. By the rules of nomencluture the Linnean specimen becomes the specific type if tho dingnosis or citations ol Spec. Plant. can be understood to cover that particular - form ; and the Linnean name consequently romains with tha: type if the aggregate species is divided. In this case, therefore, Limneus's specimen must be held the true N. poolicus if it is included in his account in Spec. Plant. The diagnosal definition of the corona as rotate, which is repeated in the citation from tho Horlus Cliffortianus, shows cleaty enough that it flat-crownel plant was primarily intended by Limneus, and this viow in supported by the habitats mentioned, which woro carly known to produce that form. The synonyms of the citation from Ilor:.

Cliff, too, as also those in Royen's Fl. Leydensis and Sauvages's Meth. Fl. Monspeliensis, likewise refer to an early-blooming flatcrowned form where they can be illentified, with two possible exceptions, viz: - N. medio-purpureus of J. Bnuhin's IIistoria, the description and figure of which evidently reprosent a form or ally of $N$. majalis, and $N$. albue circulo croceo vel luteo of C. Bauhin's Pinax. In the Historia, however, it seems possible from tho habitats mentioned that the early flat-crownel plant, which is not separately distinguished, was inadvertently included with N. medio-purpureus; and N. albus circulo croceo vel hutco appears to be a flat-crowned plant difforing from N. allus circulo purpurco in the colour of the corona-margin and is pohaps the common Swiss Narcissus, although this identification has not beon established. Of Limneus's remaining citations, that from Dodonæus cannot be accurately interpreted, but the N. albus circulo purpureo of C. Bauhin's Pinax is conclusively shown by its synonyms to be the early-flowering, flat-crowned plant of Narbonne, and it is important to note that this was selected by Linnxus in preference to the N. albus magno odore flore circulo pallicio of the same work, the late-flowering N. majulis or patellaris. In view of this evident intention of Linneus, therefore, the somewhat ambiguous indirect citation from J. Buhhin can scarcely be held a sound basis for including this latter phant under $N$. poeticus Linn. in Spec. Plant, and it seems preferable to follow the authors who restricted this name to the flat-crowned forms rather than to regard it as covering also those with cupped coronas and to apply it strictly, as Haworth did fimally in his Monograph, to the plant represented in Linneus's Horbatium.

The second species described by Koch as $N$. radiiflorus has been indifferently named in modern works $N$. angustifolius Curt. and N. radiiflorus Salisb. The earlier author, Curtis, figures tho plant intended with sufficient clearness but fumishos no (iescrip. tion, and not only do his citations from Pakinson and Bawhin refer to the flat-crowned $N$. pocticus L., but all of his numerous synonyms likewise apply to that plant, as does also the Namome locality which he quotes as a habitat. It is obvious, therefore, that Curtis did not distinguish the true $N$. pocticus from the slender plant with small, cupped corona and exserted stamons which he figured, as his text referred wholly to the former while his plate portrays the latter; and it may be assumed that his incention was merely to separato these canly-llowering and in some degree similar plants from the lato-flowering and superfically more distinct N. majalis. In consequence, Curtis's $N$. angustifolius can only be regarled as a "nomen confusun." The next name, N. radiiflorus, is accompanied by a briof but explicit diagnosis in Salisbury's Prodromus which elearly deseribes the plant figured by Curtis, although the citation in luto of that author's $N$. angustifolius as a" synonym is not entirely accurate. At that date Salisbury, like Curbis, did not fully appreciate tho difference between this plant and N. pocticus, but as he anended this in Hort. Trans, of 1812, and no frest mane wats published in
the interval, the valicity of the name $N$. radiifioms cim scurcely be questioned.

The name to be retained for the late-flowering plant restored to specific rank by Curtis and Salisbury involves a careful serutim of those authors' synonyms. Curtis's N. majalis is fommal. without figure or description, on $N$. medio-purpurcus serolmas Park. and $N$. albus magno odore flore circulo pallulo C. Banhin: Salisbury's N. palcllaris first on N. majalis Curt. and N. latifolmas vii Clusius, to which N. m. p. serotimus Park., N. pocticus Sin. in Bag. Bot. and the specimen of the Linnoan Horbarium wero afterwards added. But it is questionable whether all of theme citations refer to precisely the same plant. It will be recalled that Parkinson distinguishes two somewhat similar late-flowerin:, forms under the names of serotimes and maximus, whereof tho latter only is figured; and that what may well be tho simu two plants are described by J. Bauhin (Historia, 1. c.) as N. medio. purpureus (with a figure) and $N$. medio-purpurcus magno flone: folio latiore (Clusius Hist. vii). This larger plant is also tho N. . . . flore circulo pallido of C. Bauhin, and thus tho lesser stil greater forms were included both under $N$. majalis ant N. patcllaris. Curtis's name, being the carlier, must therefore to used if the forms are united under one species. From Salibbury * citation from Clusius in the Prodromus, it would appear Lhat whes originally describing his $N$. patellaris he had the lawor phant in view, and from this form his drawings seem to hawo been taken, but the diagnosis of $N$. patellaris fumished by Hawonth for comparison with $N$. recurvus (Synop. Pl. Suce. l. c.) matelers closely that of J. Bauhin's smaller plant, N. medio-purpureus, an ; in his subsequent Monograph Hiwworth distinguished ats Hepas:a species the two forms recognised by Pakknson and I. Hiathan. identifying the lesser with the $\dot{N}$. poeticus of tho limmant Herbarium and transfering Salisbury's mano patcllaris to thes larger plant. This determination of the Limncan specine:a is probably accurate, and to the same form, according to exncesta in Herb. Few, must apparently be refered tho late-llowe:n, plant of Montpelier, which Magnolius recorded under the name of N. albus magno odore flore circulo pallido C. Banhm. The latse plant, according to both Salisbury and Haworth, is tho N. ynchia of English Botany, the plate of which, with Haworth's destaipsiane. sufficiently fixes its characteristic foatures, and shows that ewals for its taller habit, larger leaves, and browler white zono :, it more finely fringed corona, it differs little from the smaller toras The breadth of the folinge ( $16-17 \mathrm{~mm}$.) montioned hy $11 . \mathrm{sin}$ : $:$, for $N$. patellar is (Monograph, l. c.) exceeds that of inty f:a : Narcissus that I have seen, the original specimen in Heah, ㅇ.* Brit. from which the Euglish Botany plato was drawn whane leaves considerably narrower. The general simitarity o! :... two plants has been confimed in my opinion by a contynim. . . fresh Kentish specimens, which, though smaller anit $\quad$...... grown, certanly belong to the form shown in linalis la... with others, obtained from Lissaciell its N. lifiwind...... .
noticed in the gardens at Kew, which agree precisely with J. Bauhin's description and figure, and the early diagnosis of Haworth. Their fruits also are indistinguishable, and I therofore conclude that the two plants are conspecific. With this view N. majalis Curtis stands for the species, and as the plont primarily intended by Salisbury (Prodromus, 1. c.) as N. pateillar is is the larger form, this, being first segregated, becomes a variety N. majalis var. patellaris, leaving the smaller form to reprosont the specific type. It may be noticed that these two forms wore referred by Barr to the same names majalis and patellaris.

In addition to the three species thus admitted a fourth must apparently be recognised in N. recurvus Haworth, which sooms an essentially differont plant from $N$. majalis owing to its charactoristic foliage, peculiarly curved perianth of unusually constant form, absence of white zone in the corona and larger, more trilobato fruits. The origin of this plant in cultivation is somewhat mysterious. It appears to have beon unknown to the pre-Linnean writers, for despite its foliage agreeing with that of Gerard's N. medio purpurcus pracocior, its late flowering precludes its identification with that form ; and while it may also recall Clusius's $N$. latifolius vii, it is much more probable that this was correctly identified by Caspar Bawhin with his N. allus magno odore flore circulo pallido. Then, although N. recurves was not described till 1812 by Haworth, who had first observed it three years previously, by 1833, according to its describer, it had become a common English market flower, as it is at the present day. I have not been able to determine its frequency in Continental gardens, but neither Ascherson and Graebner nor Rouy seem familiar with it, and it may not be generally grown unless perhaps in quite recent years through importation from Holland. Its occurrence as an apparent native in the Swiss Valais has been mentioned at the beginning of this paper, and M. Beauverd has quite recently informed mo of its discovery in a third station, where it grows in company with Orchis sambucina. Herbarium specimens are sometimes not readily separable from $N$. majalis.
A curious incident connected with $N$. recurvus is its association with its contemporary English name "The old Pheasant's Eyo Narcissus." This name may be suspected of boing a modern invention, for it cannot be found in the works of any writer on Narcissi before the present century and is not included in Britten and Holland's Dictionary of Plant Names (1886). It is shown in the recent Oxford Dictionary (1909), where the earliest references quoted are Routledge's Every Boy's Amnal, May, 1872, and The Vestminster Gazetle, August, 1898! Haworth called N. recurvus the "drooping-lenved saffron-rim" and Barr inserted it in his List of 1884 as the " drooping-leaved" but it appears as tho "Old Pheasant's Eye " in Barr's trade cataloguo of 1900. Mr. J. C. Baker tells me that he has no knowledgo of this as an old namo for any Narcissus, and I am unable to traco any real evidence of its former use although it may have been a local appellation in certain southern counties. Its application to Narcissi is apparently
still in the process of extension, for market gardeners now employ it for the Poot's forms indiscriminately and I have this yoar hoard these spoken of in the trade simply as "P. I.'s"! The "Pheasint's Eye" is, of course, a name commonly applied by authors to the red-flowered species of Adonis.

A figure of $N$. recurvus, under the MS. name of $N$. curvilobus, is included in the Salisbury colloction of drawings in Herb. Mus. Brit., and it may be inforred from this that Salisbury regarded it as a species additional to the three distinguished in Hort. Trans. i. 365 , of which his drawings have been reproduced for this paper. It will bo observed that the same four species, under the sime names as those now adopted, were also shown in Haworth's Narciss. Revisio in 1819. The further species established by Haworth in his Monograph remain to be considered.

The first of them, $N$. poetarum, is remarkable for its wholly red corona-a feature of which I find no independent montion in any Continental flowa. The figure of Merian, cited by Haworth for this plant, resembles it in the form of the perianth, corona and stamens, but as the plate is uncoloured and accompanied by no description, the identity is uncertain. From the frequent recurvin! of the leaf-tips, N. poetarum may also be Gorard's N. medio purpureus pracocior, but on this point also the evidence is at least insufficient. Haworth's description was taken from a London garden plant, of whose origin he seems to havo known nothing. ! learn from the Rev. G. H. Engleheart that wild Poet's Narcissi of the Pyrenees occasionally show a similar colouration of tho corona, but as the stamens of $N$. poctarm are subequal, it seoms less closely related to the Pyronean N. poeticus than to $N$. radiijlorus, which it further resembles in its narrow fruit. On tho other hand, its distinctive perianth and flat corona aro very different from what obtains in N. radiiflorus, and as it presents several points of distinction from each of the other known species, it cannot readily be reduced to a variety of any one of thom. I: also seems unlikely, from its peculiarly coloured coronn, that i: can be a hybrid form, and it therefore seems bost to retain it as a separate species.

Haworth's next species, N. spathulatus, is less complcecly diagnosed than those preceding it, and the form of the corona is not stated. As no authentic specimon is known to oxist and tho plant is supposed to be lost to cultivation (vide Barr, supri), it, position must be regarded as indefinable.
N. albus, founded on a plant of Miller's (Dict. ed. 8, No. 5), is another doubtful form, possibly allied to $N$. triandrus. It was not known to Herbert and is not enumerated in Barr's list o! pocticus-varieties.

Of $N$. dianthos Haworth the affinities aro not cortain as tho plant, like N. spathulatus, is now lost to cultivation. It appars from the diagnosis to have been a two-flowered lorm allial : N. radifforus, or perhaps a hybrid of that spocies, combiti:. channelled leaves 16 mm . broad with a white, substellate, waro porianth and a small, cupular, orange-coloured, strongly phica:
lobed corona. Haworth's description was taken from a garden plant. N. dianthos was reduced to a variety of N. biflorus Curtis by Herbert, who, however, admits that the plant was unknown to him; and it does not seem to have boen subsequently described. A wild two-fowered Italian specimen in Herb, Mus. Brit., as well as similar exsiccata at Kew, more nearly resemble the typical French $N$. poeticus, but present broader and moro imbricated perianth-segments. These are perhaps simply natural twinflowered sports. A similar form was lately sold by Messrs. Barr, probably propagated by bulb-division from solitary individuals showing the same abnormality.
N. triforus Haw. is another 2-3-flowored form of which I havo seen no authentic specimen. It is described as having channellod. leaves only $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, a white perianth with ovate, imbricated segments, and a yellow, cupular coroma. It is ovidently akin to $N$. bifiorus Curt., of which Herbert makes it a varioty, adding that it produces porfect ovules and is found in tho South of Prance.

Curtis's N. biflorus, which is placed next after N. triflorus, was well known in Britain in the time of Parkinson. Prom its uniformly abortive anthers and lack of ovales it seems an unmistakable hybrid rather than a real specios or subspecies of this group, as it has sometimes been treated. Its imperfect anthers are depicted in Curtis's plate, and are still visible in much older exsiccata, such as those of the Slome Horbarium. Haworth and Herbert seem to have doubted its hybridity, the latter suggesting that its barrenness was due to long cultivation. But it may bo questioned whether other Narcissi, still fertile, have not been grown equally as long, and the plant is much more probably, as Barr thought, an ancient cross of some form of $N$. pocticus and N. Tazetta L. which has become widely spread owing to its exceptional vigour. Other slightly differing forms, perhaps not always barren, have been observed in Southern France in spots where N. poeticus and N. Tazetta grow together, and of these N. triflorus Haw. may be one.

Haworth's last species, $N$. stellaris, is of particular interest, for not only is it more adequately diagnosed in the Monograph than some of those preceding it, but it is fully described and well figured in Sweet's British Fllciver Garden, published two years later. It is clearly most akin to N. radiiflorus, with which it has beon commonly confusea and of which it possesses the slender habit and star-like perianth; but it differs in the colouring of its shorter corona, and especially in its much broader, trigonous fruits. According to Sweet, Haworth thought it the N. medio purpurecus stellaris of Parkinson, which it may well be, and it will be seon, on referring to the synonymy of $N$. angustifolius in the Monograph, that the $N . m$. p. serotinus Park. printed under $N$. stellaris is a clerical error for N. m. p. stellaris.

The N. latifolius vi of Clusius (Hist. Rar. Phant. l. c.) also seems from it "capitula triangula" to be identical with this plant rather than with $N$. radiiflorus Salisb., and if this be admitted,
$N$. stellaris is likewise the N. nivens odoratus circulo mucllo C. Bauhin (Pinax, p. 48), and of Ray, and the N. medio-purpureus minor J. Bauhin (Hist. ii. 600).
N. stelliflorus Schur (Dsterr. Bot. Zeitsch. l.c.), a plant of Lower Austria like N. latifolius vi of Clusius, may similarly bo identifed with $N$. stellaris, which it closely resembles in description, while its author separates it from $N$. radiflorus by its later flowering and obovate, angular fruits-points of distinction equally characterizing N. stellaris. The Transylvanian N. seriorflorens Schur is another plant not easily separable from $N$. stellaris, which indeed seems to be the most widely spread form throughout Austria. It is perhaps doubtful, in view of the many points of similurity between N. stellaris and N. radiiflorus, whether the two plants should bo specifically separated, but in the present imper. fect state of our knowledge I hesitato to unite them on account of the great difference in the fruits.

It will have been noticed that in Trans. Hort. Soc. i. 305 , Salisbury states that his N. radieflorus is a native of moist, sul). alpino meadows in Switzerland; and at the same time he cites for this species Redoute's figure of N. poeticus. The reasons for thus identifying the Swiss Narcissas are not given by Salisbury, but tho habitat was copied by Haworth, both in the Revisio and tho Monograph, and the Swiss plant has been generally referred to N. radiiflorus in subsequent works. The common Narcissus of Les Avants and other localities in Western Switzerland, howover, is not only remarkable for its variable perianth, but it differs widely from N. radiflorus in its flat, discoid corona and its broader, obscurely trigonous fruits. The flat corona similarly distinguishes it from $N$. stellaris, and at the same time it is clcarly separable from N. poeticus L., which it resembles in the coroma, owing to its subequal stumens with all the anthers moro or less exserted. Its characters accord very farly with those of Haworth's N. majalis $\beta$ exertus (Narciss. Revisio, l.c.), which was descriled from a nursery plant, seen only in 1809, that may have readily died out in cultivation, as actually happens with the Swiss plani. At the time of describing this variety, Haworth thought it a very notable form, but afterwards in his Monograph it became simply var. $y$ of $N$. poeticus, characterized only by its exserted stamens, and illustrated by Redoute's figure, which Salisbury bad reforred to N. radiiflorus. The figure, although it depicts porinnth. segments cuneately narrowed below as in N. radiflorus, show: to my eyes, a flat and not a cupular corona, and tho form of i:; fruit is that of this Swiss plant or of $N$. stellaris. I therefore think that Salisbury erronoously referred this plato to N. ruin. florus, and as the plant drawn agrees in all respects with certai:a states of the Swiss Narcissus, it results that this latter can los identified with Haworth's N. majalis var. exertus of the lievio and $N$. poeticus var, $\gamma$ of the Monograph. The differences repre sented in Haworth's description and in this plate between :.as variety cxertus and $N$. majalis Curb. aro, howover, much :... essential for the plants to be held conspecific; and Huworth ha:....
self in the Revisio calls the variety a "forte propria species." Its subequal stamens resemble those of $N$. radififorits and $N$. stellaris rather than N. majalis, while its that corona recalls N. poeticus, and taking its features as a whole, it seems almost as distinct as any of the forms hitherto dealt with as species. The only separato specific name that I cun trace for this Swiss plant is N. longipetalus Schleicher in Steudel's Nomenclator-a "nomen nudum"; and Haworth's varietal name therefore becomes valid as N. cxertus when it is raised to specific rank. It is probable that this plant is the $N$. albus circulo croceo vel luteo of the Pinax, which is said. to differ from N. albus circulo purpureo, the flat-crowned N. poeticus, chiefly in the colour of the corona-margin.

In addition to these plants distinguished by Haworth, Horbert's variety verbanensis, which was unknown to his predecessor, merits notice as a form remarkable for its uniformly dwarf habit. Its unequal stamens resemble those of $N$. pocticus and $N$. majalis, and Parlatore appears to have included it with the former of these rather than with $N$. radiflorus, as has been done by somo moro recent authors. But its different perianth-segments, more cuncato below and distinctly more acute, as well as its quite small cupular corona, render it difficult to place it as a variety undor eithor N. pocticus or N. majalis, while it is still less like N. recurvus; and it thus seems necessary to treat it as a full species, $N$. verbanensis. It appears to be the prevalent Narcissus of the Italian Lakes district and is not improbably the N. albus circulo crocco minor of the Pinax. It is also possible that it is the $N$. minimus medio purpureus Park. Par. 87, No. 3, or the N. medio croceus tenuifolius Park. Par. 87, No. 2, which Haworth inserted in his genus Helenca (Mon, p. 13) under the specific names of purpurcocincta and croceo-cincta respectively. Of these two phants Haworth had no actual knowledge, but it is not easily explained why they were placed apart from the poeticus forms by Parkinson if they were really members of that group.

In the spring of 1914 I received from Savoy fresh flowers of a dwarf Narcissus with stamens as in $N$. verbanensis but with a more deeply cupped corona and narrower, more stellato perianthsegments similar to those of $N$. stellaris. This plant seems conspecific with Herbert's plant, though perhaps varietally distinct; and somewhat larger specimens in Herb. Kew, collected at Pontarlier in the French Jura and received from Gry undor tho name of N. stellaris, may also be identical, as likewise another plant there, from Aveyron, in Southorn France, wheno IIenbort records $N$. stellaris. Unfortunately, the arrangoment of tho stamens in these exsiccata cannot be determined without dissecting the flowers, which is not practicable in a public collection; and it is therefore impossible to bo certain whether these specimens are really referable to $N$. verbancnsis or $N$, stellaris. But it is likely, seeing that $N$. stellaris is a more eastem form not otherwise known from France, that these plants aro allied to the Narcissus of Savoy and N. verbanensis.

The next new forms published are those of Barr's List of 1881,
of which the most important is his "poeticus of Linnæus." This plant, of tall and robust habit but with extremely small flowers, appears to have dorived its name from its identification with the specimen of the Linnean Herbarium, cither by Barr or by Burbidge. But it has been shown that Linnæus's sheet of N. poeticus exhibits distinctly largor flowers, which tho oller authorities, Salisbury and Eaworth, identified with N. majalis Curt., and from that species this pygmy-flowered form diffors in several respects. I have, indeed, seen no examples with flowers only 1 in. broad, as stated by Barr, but the porianth of plants growing in Kew Gardens last spring barely exceeded $1 \frac{1}{2}$ in. and the diameter of finely grown flowers received from Mr. Engloheart was only $\frac{1}{2}$ in. greater. Besides its remarkably small flowers as compared with its general habit, this plant is notable for iis nearly cylindrical scape and its subglobose fruit, and in thickness of perianth it surpasses $N$. recurvus. Its stamens are unequal as in N. poeticus, while its relatively large corona recalls in colour that of N. recurvus though its form is broader and less cleariy cupular. The combination of these peculiarities renders this Narcissus one of the most distinct of the group, descrving recognition as a species, and it is accordingly proposed to descrile it as $N$. hellenicus. Its origin in cultivation is not cortainly known and it cannot be traced in literature prior to 1881, bu: is has been reported to have been brought from Grecec shortly before that yoar, and probably correctly so, for it seoms identica! with the Greek exsiccata represented both in Horb. Kow and Herb. Mus. Brit., "C. Haussknecht, Iter Griecum, 1hs. N. poeticus. Agrapha, Neuropolis." A second example in Hurl. Mus. Brit., "René du Parquet, Kalki, Sea of Marmora," may bo a state of the same species, although of dwarfor habit and with a larger flower.

Another important plant introduced in Barr's List is his ornatus, which, as alveady shown, is not the species proviously $\rightarrow$ named by Haworth. Barr's plant, now one of the best known o! Narcissi, appears to be a wild form or old hybrid from Southor: Erance which in characters is less closely allied to $N$. pucitus and $N$. majalis of that district than to the Siviss $N$. csirtit. This is seen in its combination of flat corona and sulmertal stamens, as well as in its trigonous fruits, but thécolouring .... plication of its corona approximate in somo degreo to N. majaise. As a possibly wild plant it seems best placed in this papur as a variety of $N$. exertus, with which it most essontially arreces. As Haworth's name $N$. omatus is reduced to a synonym of $N$, pocicis. the same epithet omatus (Barr) may bo hold valid for a variety of the separate species $N$. excrlus, with which it in pro. posed to associate Barr's plant. Tho gencral resembisticy ! this variety ormatus to Redoute's figure of $N$ pocticus smy tos oasily seon.

Bary's further variety granuliflorus, which is still obtaitalic in Ireland, is a plant of unknown origin resembling $N$. radian in but with larger llowers and a broader red margin to tho en :

I have not succeeded in obtaining fruit of this form, and it may be a hybrid which arose in cultivation in Holland.

The stellaris of Barr's List, remarkable for its inflated spatho, was perhaps a sport rather than a variety and was regarded as distinct from N. steilaris by Burbidge in 1889. It was on sale a few years ago as "N. Marvel," but I have failed to obtain a fresh example of it.

Another distinct plant cultivated in recent years is $N$. grandiflorus precox, an early-tlowering form which rocalls Hiworth's description of $N$. recurvus var. gracilior. Its browd leaves and laterally inflexed perianth-segments resemble those of $N$. recurvus, as do also to some extent the stamens and corona; on tho othe: hand, by its more stellate perianth, ellipsoid fruit and carly flowering, it approaches $N$. radiiflorus. It is a form probably of Dutch origin, and perhaps a hybrid of these two species although normally they do not flower together.

A further form observed some years ago in a Dutch nursery and now much cultivated in England under the name of "Almina" scems more or less intermediate between $N$. excrtus and tho variety omatus. Mr. Engleheart thinks it has the appoarance of a wild plant, and if so, it was probably obtained by selection from some local race of $N$. exertus, of the type of which it may bo considered an extreme form with remarkably broad and truncato perianth-segments and a deep red instead of orange margin to the corona.

Among the plants received last spring from Mr. Inglehoart an extremely handsome, late-flowering form of Pyrenean origin, apparently referable to the flat-crowned $N$. poeticus, is worthy of mention on account of the breadth of its foliage (12-14 mm.) and the development of a well-marked, white zone in the corom of some of its flowers. It is possible, judging from this white zono, that it is a natural cross with some form of $N$. majalis, but without more local knowledge this cannot be satisfactorily determined.

The last variety to be noticed is $N$. poeticus $\beta$ sulphureus Rouy (Fl. France, l.c.), distinguished solely by its sulphur-yellow flowers-a character that seems to indicate an accidental sport on: a hybrid with some yellow-flowered species of Narcissus. I havo seen no specimens of this variety.

It will now be seen that of these varying forms of Poot's Narcissi, nipe have been segregated for recognition as separato species. In grouping them the system of Haworth, based on tho time of flowering, must be passed over as unscientific, for is plainly does not coincide with the plants' natural alinities and it is evident that the period when these plants bloom may depend largely on tho latitude and altitude ted which they grow. The two species recognised by Koch, N. poeticus and N. rauliiflorus, seem to offer the basiz of a better classification, for they wre in some measure representative of two series of forms in which tho other species may also be placed. But an arrangement of this kind is complicated by cross-affinities. N. majalis and N. recurous,
which resemble $N$. poeticus somewhat in stamens and perianth, possess a cupular corona; $N$. exertus and $N$. poctarmm, while agrooing with $N$. radiiflorus in their subequal stamens, have tho llat corona of N. pocticus; and the differences in the fruits, moreover, are not correlated with those of any of the other organs. But there seems no moro practical arrangement of these plants than the selection of Koch's two species, which are really widely different, as types of two sories, Eu-poetici and Radiiflori, of which the unequal stamens, with imbricated perianth-segments, of $N$. pocticus, and the subequal stamens, with cuneato-basca perianth-segments, of N. radifforus are regarded as the essential features. Each series is thon readily subdivisible by its flat or cupular corona.

There is some evidence that this arrangement is not only practical but natural in the geographical distribution of theso plants, for the first series, Eu-poctici, extends across Southern Europe from Spain through Southern France to Italy and Groece, while tho second, Radiiflori, with the possible exception of N. poetarum, whose native country is unknown, favours more northern and eastern regions, ranging from Switzerland across Austria to Transylvania and the Balkans. In the Siviss Mps, where the habitats of the two series moet or overlap, wo got the anomalous species $N$. exertus and $N$. verbanensis on the north and south sides of the main chain respectively, with N. recurous in isolated spots in the Valais. N. poeticus L., in varying forms, appears widely spread from the Pyrences to Southern Italy, while N. majalis seems to be local in the South of France, and the Greek $N$. hellenicus is a rare outlying species, showing marked peculiarities as might be expected. Among the Rculiijlori. the late-flowering N. stellaris is probably generally distribute! from the Tyrol to Transylvania, while tho carlier N. radiijlorus seems to occur in the neighbourhood of the Adriatic, oxtending south-eastwards from Trieste to Servia and Montenegro.

This papor, which has been completed with somo difficulty owing to pressure of other work, will bo concluded with descrip. tions of such plants as appear to bo essontially distinct and probably wild. My thanks aro due to the Rev. G. H. Englehear: and Mr. P. R. Barr for supplying fresh material of a number of different forms, and to M. Beauverd, of the Boissier Merbarman, near Geneva, for living specimens and detailod information respecting the Alpine Narcissi. I am also indebted for valuabio suggestions to Dr. O. Stapf.

As the work of early writers is of spocial intorest in thin genus a separate pro-Linnean synonymy will be given. Exsicca: will not be quated owing to the general obliteration of thum! characters and tho doubt attaching to many sots that have lua, sent out. It may be suggested here that, in collecting tho Narcissi, notes should be made of the form and colourin: of: :corona and the arrangement of the stamens. The shape of: :M fruit should also be added whenever possible. The follos.... diagnoses have been drawn up from living plants, exce日t wi...

otherwise stated, and leading contrasting characters are italicisci throughout. The form of the corona clescribed is of that orgm when fully expanded; at the opening of the flower it is, of courso, plicate-cupular in every species. The positions of the stamens mentioned refer to their condition after the cohiscenco of the anthers; before dehisconce the anthors are always longer and more exserted.

## NARCISSUS L. Sp. Plant. 289 (1753).

Section Eu-Narcissus Baker Amaryll. 2 (185S).
Narcissus Haworth Mon. Narciss. 14, as a genus (1831); Herbert Amaryll. 80 , as a genus (1837).

Spatho usually 1 -flowered. Perimenth-tubo about as long as the white segmonts; corona several times shortor than these segments, discoid or cupular in form, yellow (except in N. pocturum) with a subscarious margin edged with red. Stamens more or less unequal (unequal or subequal), three long and three short alternating; filaments adnate to the perianth-tube; three or all of the anthers more or less exserted.

## Conspectus of Species.

Sories 1. Poemicr. Stamens unequal; perianth-serments usually shortly narrowed and imbricate below.

* Corona flat and discoid at maturity.

1. N. poeticus. Fruit broadly ellipsoid, obscurely trigonous. *: Corona more or less cupular.
! Corona small; perianth-segments cuspilate.
2. N. verbanensis. Truit ellipsoic; plant dwarf with small fiowers.
I! Corona larger ; perianth-segments more obtuso, mucronite.
3. N. hellenicus. Corona broadly cupular: or saucer-shapel ; fruit subglobose; plant robust with small flowers.
4. N. recurvus. Corona undulate-cupular; fruit large, sub-
5. N. majalis. Coroma cupular with flat base and whito zone below red margin; fruit triangularobovoid.
Series 2. Radirplori. Stamens subequal; perianth segmen $R_{\text {e }}$ usually cuneately-narrowed below.

* Corona small, cupular.

6. N. radiiflorus. Corona distinctly cup-shaped; fruit linearollipsoid or pyriform, terete.
7. N. stelluris. Corona relatively broader; fruit ellipsoid, trigonous.
:* Corona that or nearly so, when mature.
8. N. poetaram. Corona sub-discoid, wholly red; fruit narrowly ellipsod, nearly terete.
9. N. exertus. Corona flat and discoid; fruit ellipsoid or obovate-ellipsoid, more or less trigonous.
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## Series 1. Poeticr.

## 1. Narcissus powtrcus Limn.

True Poet's Narcissus.
Timely purple-ringed Daffodill of Gerard.
Early purple-ringed Daffodill of Purkinson.
Flat-crowned saffron-rim of Ifuworth.
Narcissus pocticus L. Spec. Plant. 289 (1753) non cjumien herb.; Salisbury in Trans. Hort. Soc. i. 365 (1819) ; Hawnat Narciss. Revis. 148 (1819) ; Koch Synop. 11. (ierm., ed. 2. -1! (1813) ; Parlatore El. Ital. iii. 116 (1858) ; Ascherso: is (irnbans Synop. iii. 396, sensu lato (1900); Rouy 1ll. lis, xiii, 53, , non lato (1912) ; N. tripodalis Salisb. MS.; N. omatus Ilaworth Mos: Narciss. 14 (1831).
N. poeticus mediocrocens purpurens Lobol Stirp. Ady. Now in (1570) ; N. medio purpureus pracox Gerard Iferb, 104 (16,7). N. latifolius alter Clusius Hist. Rar. Pl. ii. 150 (1601): N. alkas circulo purpureo C. Bauhin Pin. 48 (1623); N. mcilo jurgarsias pricecox Park. Par. 76 et 75, f. 3 (1629).

Icon.-Nost. tab. fig. 1 and 2.
Bulb ovoid or ovoid-elongate, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in. diameter when cultivated, smaller wild, outer scales pale brown with fine, duric: veins. Leaves erect, shorter than the scape, G-9 man. lias: green or glaucescent, distinctly keeled and channclich. Scam $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, compressed and 2 -edged, striate, monderath) stout but sometimes attenuate above. Spathe more or lios thickly membranous, sometimes rather long; peiticel ather slender. Flower scented, $5 \cdot 5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diancter, with rather slender, light green tubo and snow-white porianth timal with yellow at the base; perianth-semments imbricate lulum, otsen twisted, the outer oblong-obovate, truncato and mueronate, hab narrowed below and rejlexed, the imer shorter and narower. oval-oblong, subacute or mucronuhate, horyontally y/rabing Corona flat and discoid when doveloped, 13-15 :mm, D,mad. yellow with a greenish centre and a moderately broad res of orange-red edge, within which a narrow whitho zone nomethors appears as the flower fades, much plicate-rugose in tho wher ha!! with plicate-crenulate-dentate margin. Stumens uncyul: :"... anthers exserted, three included in tho perianth-tube $+\cdots$ sometimes included, sometimes equalling or slinhlly cacen: the longer stamens. Fruit about 15 mm . long, brently rlif, $\quad \cdots$. obscurely trigonons with 3 faint furrows.

Pyrenean forms sometimes show longor and thicker nati.e. narrower perianth-segments and in greater dovelopment of the: :c. colouring in the corona.

The type of $N$. poeticus, which flowors naturally in Murche early April, bas been known since tho time of Loluc and Clow, as the early-flowering Poet's Narcissus of tho Southof Fi....... and was recorded by Magnolius as growing near Nontpules is company with the late-1lowering N. majalis. It is atou a :......
of Italy, and of the Pyrenees, where it does not flower till May; and it is probably the species of this group stated by Willkomm and Lange to inhabit Central and Eastem Spain. It is furthor recorded by Ascherson and Gribbner for South Tyrol, although its occurrence as an indigenous plant east of tho Alps would not bo expected.

As a British garden plant, blooming in April, N. poeticus is known to have been continuously cultivated since tho days of Gerard. It was a familiar plant in the soventeenth century, ind as lato as 1833 was commonly grown for tho London llowor market. It has become scarce in England during the hast forty years apparently owing to its general supersession by N. cxerlus var. ornatacs.

## 2. Narcissus verbanensis, nov. comb.

Narcissus verbanensis nov. comb.; N. pocticus var. verbanensis Herbert Amaryll. 317 (1837); N. poeticus subsp. radiiflurus f. verbanensis Baker Amaryll. 12 (1888); N. poeticus subsp. angustifolius var. verbanensis Ascherson and Grïlbnor Synop. iii. 397 (1900).
N. allus circulo croceo minor C. Bauhin Pinax, 49 (1023)?

Icon.-Herbert, l. c., tab. 37, fig. 2, as N. pocticus var. verbanensis (mala).

Plant dwarf. Bulb ovoid, very small, $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter: Leaves narrow and erect, shorter than the scape, only $3-5$ mm. broad, keeled and channelled. Scape $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, finely striate, very slender. Spathe thinly membranous, of moderate length; pelicel very slender, usually short. Plower scented, small, $3 \cdot 5-4 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter, with moderate green tubo and snow-white perianth tinged with yellow or greenish at the base; 2)erianth-segments imbricate or distinct, varying in shape from elliptical to oblong, wather shortly narrowed below, more or less strongly mucronate or cuspidate, spreading or recurved. Corona shorlly cupular, small, $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad and 2 dym. deep, yellow edged with red, margin finely plicate-crenulate-dentate. Stamens unequal; three anthers exserted, three included in the perianthtube; style rarely exceeding the longer stamens. Fruit about 12 mm . long, ellipsoich, doubtfully trigonous and furrowed.

Description from exsiccata collected at Baveno, the locus classicus.
N. verbanensis flowers in the latter 'half of May, and in its typical form is widely distributed in the Italian Lakes district. In Savoy and the Mont Conis district, and possibly elsewhere in France, a different form occurs, perhaps variotally distinct, in which the spathe is longer, the perianth-segments much narrower, acute, oblanceolate and distant below, and the corona moro deeply cupular.

It is apparently only in recent yours that this graceful plant has been brought into British gardens, where most cultivators have found it a very short-lived tenant.
3. Narcissus meldenicus, nov. spec.

Narcissus hellenicus, nov. spec. N. "poeticus of Limmas" ap. Barr in Fl, and Pomol., 101 (1884) et hort.

Bulbus ovoideus vel ovoideo-elongatus, in hortis $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diametro, tunicis exterioribus fusco-tinctis preditus. Frolia haud angusta, erecta, scapo fere wqualia, $10-12 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, alto viridia, carina obscuri complanata. Scapus $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, subcylindricus, vix anceps, grosso striatus, crassus, spatha dense membranacea pedicolloque crassiusculo proditus. Flores parvi, odorati, $3.5-4.75 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diametro, tubo lato cylindrico alte viridi et perianthio niveo basi vix luteo-tincto prediti ; perianthii segmentis crassis, imbricatissimis, orliculari-obovatis, interioribus rotundo-obtusis, exterioribus mucronatis paululum latioribus, omnibus patentious et subirregulariter undatis vel margine paulum inflexo planis. Corona subcupularis vel marginibus o medio lato plano ascendentibus paterceformis, relative mayna, circa 13 mm . lata, medio viridi marginibusque haud angustis coccineis infra quos circulus angustus ablidus deniquo explicatus est pallide liava, irregulariter, et sparse in dimidio exteriore plicato-rugosa, margine plicato-crenulato predita. Stumina incqualia, antheris tribus paulo exsertis, tribus in perianthii tabo inclusis; stylus stamina longiora fere tequans. Fructus circa 16 mm . longus, subglobosus, haud triangularis, vix sulcatus.

Mabitat, ut videtur, in regione Montis Pindi Grecise borealis.

Bulb ovoid or ovoid-elongate, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter when cultivated, tinted with deep brown. Lacaves broad and oroct, nearly equalling the scape, $10-121 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, dark green, flattened and obscurely keeled and channolled. Scape $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, subcylindrical and scarcely 2 -edged, ribbed, stout. Spathe thickly membranous; podicel rather stout. Flower small, scenter, $3.5-4.75 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter, with broad, cylindrical, deep-green tule and snow-white perianth very slightly tinged with yollow at tho base; perianth-segments thick, much imbricated, orthicular-obotate, the inner rounded-obtuse, the outer rather browder and mucron:te, all spreading and either a little irregularly waved or nearly tha: with slightly intlexed margins. Corona subcupular or satuer. shaped with margins ascending from a broad, flat ceatre, relatively large, about 13 mm . broad, palo yellow, with a grec: contre and a moderate edge of crimson, below whicl in faint :as narrow white zone finally devolops, irregularly wat rather d:. tantly plicate-rugose in the outer half with plicate-creman: margin. Stamens unequal, with three anthers slightly exse:tal and three included; style nearly equalling tho longer stannes. Fruit about 16 mm . long, subglobose, not trigonous and scarcely furrowed.

This plant, remarkable for its combination of a rolust la : with small but finely formed flowers, does not appear to lime been known until recent years in horticulture, and it lan :: : hitherto been botanically deseribed. It is reasombly certan: : $:$ : it is indigenous in the region of Nt. Pindus, in Northem (irrea.
and it may be the form recorded by Boissice and Ifalacsy for Mit. Cita and for Beotia, the home of the Narcissus of chassicallegend.

It flowers during May in English givelons and about a month later in the mountains of Grecce.

## 4. Narcissus recurvus Пaworth.

Drooping-leaved saffron-rim of ITaworth. Old Pheasant's Eye Narcissus auct. recent. et hort.
Narcissus recurvus Hiwooth Syn. P1. Suce. App. 331 (1812); Narciss. Revis. 151 (1819) ; Mon. Narciss. 15 (1831); Sweet Brit Fl. Garden, No. 188 (1833) ; N. curvilobus Salist), MS.

Icon.-Sweet, l. c. No. 188.
Buib large, subrotund-ovoid, $30-35 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diamoter when cultivated but smaller when wild, outer scales palo brown with fine, darker veins. Leaves large, recurved and dronping in upper quarter, about as long as the scape, 10-13 mm, beom, glateots, obscurely keeled and channellod in lower half and nealy hat above. Scape $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 2 -edged but littlo commressed, strongly striate, wather stout. Spathe moderately lond and hick; pedicel stout, almost equalling the uppermost part of the sche. Flower scented, 6-6.5 cm. in diameter, with rather brome, slighty flattened, deep green tube and snow-white perianch, of unusually constant form, slightly greenish at the very baso ; periualisegments thick, shortly narrowed and imbricate below, the outer oval, truncate or retuse, mucronate, the imner ovale-oblong, obtuse, mucronate, all rigidy arcuate-recurved with laterally inflered margins. Corona undulate-cupular with margins ascondin: from at rathor narrow centre, rather large, 12-14 mm . brow and $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. deep, green in the central half and chrome-yellow beyond, with a rather broad edge of deep rel, within which a whitish zone may rarely appear after maturity, much plicaterugose with irregularly plicate-crenulate-dentate margin. Stamens very mequal, with three anthers slightly exsorted and threo distinctly falling short of the perianth-tube; anthers shorter and relatively broader than in the other species of the section, with paler coloured pollen. Style included or very shortly exserted, sometimes equalling the shorter stimens, sometimes almost as long as the longer ones. Fruit large, nonly 20 mm . long, more or less irregularly subrotund-trilobate, with three decp furrows.
$\beta$ gracilior Haworth Mon. Narciss. 15 (1831).
Leaves much narrower tha in the type, glaucescent, less flat, a ferv only recurved, the others generally as tall as tho slenderer scape; red margin of the corona often narrow.

The description of the variety gracilior has been adopted from Haworth.
N. recurvus, which has not hitherto been reported as a wihl plant, has tho appearance of indigenity in a fow localitios (att 4-6000 (t.) in the Valais of Switzelland, where it flowers early in Junc. Its origin in cultivation is not cortainly known, but it seoms to have been a rare plant introluced about tho beginning
of the nineteenth century into British Nurseries, in which it :a, : havo very rapidly increased, as might indeed be expected fro:n i: vigorous habit of growth. It flowers abundantly in our gari.. whout the middle of May, but does not respond to forcin:t of $]$ : culture. Its very purely coloured and fantastically symmetaca flowers are perhaps more beautiful than those of any obher whl Poot's Narcissus.

## 5. Narcissus majalis Curtis.

Late purple-ringed Daffodill of Parkinson.
Middle-sized May-flowering Narcissus of Haworth.
Narcissus majalis Curtis Bot. Mag. sub. t. 193 (1793) ; Inwarsh Narciss. Revis. 150, excl. syn. (1819) ; N. patellaris Ilaworth Sy:. Pl. Succ. App. 331 (1812) non Salislury; N. poelicus Limn. Iler... et L. ap. Haworth Mon. Narciss. 15, excl. vai: $\gamma$ (1831).
N. medio purpureus serotinus Park. Par. 76 (1629); N. mediu mupurcus J. Bauhin Hist. Pl. ii. 600, cum icono (1651); 1.u Hist. ii. 1133, ex parte (1688).

Bulb ovoid or ovoid-elongate, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter when cultivated, smaller wild, outor scalos pale brown with datler veats. Leaves erect, shorter than the scape, $7-9$ mm. broad, whanceac:.: obscurely keeled and channelled. Scape 25-10 cm. lony, 2-culacel and much compressed, striate, rather stout. Spatho of modera:" size and thickness; pedicel llattened, rather stout. Ilower scented, $5.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diametor, with rather broad, slinhaly flattened, deep green tube and snow-white perianth timed wat yellow at the base; perianth-segments rather thick, imbricate below with irregularly waved or recurvod marerins, tho onicr obovate-oblong, rounded-obtuse, mucronate and slightly recurri. the immer oval or oblong, rounded-obtuse or subacute, sjrcalm: Corona shorlly cupular with margins suberect from a broad, ti: base, rather large, $12-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. brond and about 3 mm . iker. chrome-yellow with a green base and above a narrow, clear whi: zone within the rather narrow crimson edfe, findy and clu-d plicate-rugose beyond the flat base with fincly plicate-crenulad. dentate margin. Stamens unequal, three anthers sliohtly exthree included in the perianth-tube; stylo equalling the lo: $\quad$ stamens. Fruit about 15 mm . long, triangul(ur-obovoid, scatce: furrowed.

> Bpamerlaris, nov. comb.
> Paple-circled Daffodill of Gerard.

Great white purple-ringed Daffodill of Parkinson. Large, broad, Mily-floworing Narcissus of Haworth.
N. patellaris Salisb. Prod. 225 (1796); Haworth Mon. Narcine. 15 (1831); N. poeticus Smith Eng. Bot. 275 (1795).
N. medio murpurcus Gerard Hort. 108, cum icone (1. N. latifolius vii Chusius Mist. Run. 11. ii. 157 (1G01): $\therefore$. .. . . magno odore flore circulo pallido C. Bawhin 1 Mn. is (16-2) $\therefore$

medio-purpureus magno flore; folio latiore J. Bauhin IIst. Pl. ii. 600 (1651) ; N. medio purpureus magno flore latiore Ray IIst. ii. 1133 (1688).

Icones.-Eng. Bot. 275, as N. poeticus; Nost. tab. fig. G-0.
Leaves noarly as long as the scape, $9-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, glaucous, keeled and channelled almost throughout. Scape $40-50 \mathrm{~cm}$. lomg, finely striate, slender and attenuato upwards. Spathe rather larger and thicker than in the type; pedicel slender: Perianth. segments sometimes broader than in the type, with more reculaty reflexed margins. Corona a little more deeply cupular than in the type, with a rather broad white zone below the moderately broad, light red edge, finely but irregularly plicate-rugose abovo the fiat base with finely plicate-denticulate-fimbriate margin. Style equalling or barely exceeding the shorter stamens. Otherwise as in the type.

Of $N$. majalis in a wild state very little is positively known, but, judging from herbarium material, it appears to bo tho lateflowering Poet's Narcissus regardod as anitive of Montpelier, in S. France, since the time of Magnolius. It also occurs, of doubtful nativity, at Champigné, Dép. Maine-et-Loire (1porenu, 18j2, in Herb. Kew), and perhaps in other French locilitites. A westom Duropean origin is attributed to it by Parkinson.

The variety patellaris-a larger plant with flowers often of similar size - was thought by Clusius to have come from Styria, and Salisbury, possibly from indopondent information, also states that it grows wild in the Alps of Styria and Kartschia. On tho other hand, Parkinson refers to it as obtained from Constantinople. As no wild specimen has been traced in herbaria, its origin remains uncertain, but it would appear probable on general grounds that, like the specific type, it came from Western Europe. A not unlikely habitat is the Pyrenees.

As garden plants both type and variety have been very long in cultivation in Britain and on the Continent. Johanu Jauhin noticed the type in gardens at Bâle, and refers to it as growing also in Belgium, Germany and England. The var. patellaris was observed by Clusius at Frankfort, and is tho N. medio purpureus of Gerard, apparently the best known Poet's Narcissus of tho English gardens of his day. Gerard's name, however, may have included the specific type as well as the variety, for Pakinson shows that both of them were grown with us at a little later cate. Further evidence of the former frequency of the var: patellaris is afforded by its escape and naturalization in Kent and other localities towards the close of the eighteenth century, which lad to its inclusion as a British plant in English Botany and other subsequent floras. It is only during the last twenty years that the species has become scarce in English gardons, and tho var: patellaris is no longer casily obtuinable.
N. najalis flowers in our gardens in May, a little before the var. patellaris, which is almost the last member of the froun to como into bloom.

## Serics 2. Radiflori.

## 6. Narcissus madimeorus Salisbury.

 Narrow-leaved saffron-rim of Haworth.Narcissus radiiflorus Salisb. Proc. 225 (1700), et Trans. Hort. Soc. i. 365, excl. syn. (1812); Maworth Nirciss. Revis. 149 (1819); Koch Synopsis, ed. 2, 811 (1843); Parlatore I'l. Ital. (iii. 118 (1858) ; N. angustifolius Haworth Mon. Narciss. 14 (1831); N. pocticus subsp. radiiflorus Baker Amaryll. 12 (1888); N. pocticus subsp. angustifolius Ascherson \& Gräbner Synopsis, iii. 307, sensu lato (1906) ; N. pocticus race N. radiflorus Rouy F1. F1, xiii. 54, sensu lato (1912).
N. medio purpurens pracocissimus Gerard Herb. 108, cam icone (1597)?

Icones.-Curtis Bot. Mag. 193, as N. angustifolius (nomen confusum) ; Nost. tab. fig. 3-5.

Bulb ovoid, rather small, about 25 mm . in diameter (culc.), outer scales whitish-brown, with woll-marked, darker veins. Leaves crect, nearly equalling the scape, $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. browl, green or glaucescent, keeled and slightly channelled. Scape $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, compressed and 2 -edged, striate, slender. Spathe thinly membranous; pedicel slender, sometimes elongate. Plowers strongly scented, G-7 cm. in diameter, with slender, light green tube and stellate, greenish-white perianth tinged with yellow at the base ; perianth-segments cuncately narroved below, not indericate, the outer oblanccolate, cuspidate or mucronate, the inner more elliptical, acute or subacute, all spreading or slightly waved. Corona cupular, suberect from a nariow base, small, S-10 mm. broad and $2-2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. deop, bright yollow edged, sometimes broadly, with deep red, plicate-rugose with unevenly but closely plicate-crenulate-dentate margin. Stamens subequal; anthers all exsertod from the perianth-tube, the upper nearly reaching the edge of the corona (exveeding it before dehiscence). Style variable, sometimes slightly exceeding tho longer, sometimes only equalling the shorter stamens. Fruit about 18 mm . long, linecar-ellipsoid or narrowly pyriform, terete, not trigonous or furrowed.
N. radiiflorus seems to have been first clearly distinguished as a wild plant by Koch, who records it for the neighbourhood of Trieste and other Austrian localitics, as well as the Swiss Valais. Its occurrence in the latter province, however, may be doubted, for Jaceard (Cat. Fl. Vahaisume, 1895) notes it only for the extreme west of the Valais, where N. excertus has cuparently been mistaken for it. It seems to inhabit also Servit and Mlontenegro, and probably Bosnia, but the more northern Austrim habitats where it has been recorded should probably be refered to $N$. stellaris, with which it has been very generally confused.

As a garden plant, $N$. ruluiflorus may possibly be the $N$. medio purpurcus precocissimus of Gonard, but was unknown to Parkinson and Ray. It was evidently noticed in cultivation by Curtis, and was familiar to Salisbury and Haworth, since whose time it has been regulaly grown in English gardens till in quite recent years
it has given place, like N. poeticus, to N. excrlus val: ornalus ancl other newer forms.

Both in its native habitats and in British gavdens it flowers in April, and its stelhate, greenish-white flowers wro so distinct from those of the other cultivated Poot's Narcissi that it comitinly should not be entirely banished from our giviens. It is suid to be one of the species from which early flowers are obtainable by forcing.

## 7. Narcissus stellaris Haworth.

## Starry purple-ringed Daffodill of Parkinson.

 Long-petalled saffron-rim of Haworth.Narcissus stellaris Haworth Mon. Narciss. 15 (1831) ; Sweet Brij. Fl. Garden, No. 132 (1833); N. seriorflorens Schur Pl. Transs. 657 (1866)?; $N$. stelliflorus Schur in Cistere. Bot. Zeitsch. xix. 205 (1869) ; N. radiiflorus auct. mult. non Silisib. Prod.
N. latifolius vi Clusius Hist. Rar. Pl. ii. 156 (1601); N. nivens odoratus circulo rubello C. Bauhin Pin. 48 (1023), ot Ray Eist. ii. 1133 (1688) ; N. medio purpureus stellaris Park. Par. 70 2t 75, f. 4. (1629) ; N. medio-purpureus minor J. Bauhin Hist. Pl. ii. C00, cum icone (1651).

Icon.-Sweet, l.c., No. 132.
Bulb ovoid, rather small. Leaves erect, shorter than the scape, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, green or glaucous, keeled and channelled. Scape $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 2-edgech and slightly compressed, striate, rather slender and attenuate above. Spathe thinly membranous, rather short; pedicel slender. Flower scented, $5.5-5.5 . \mathrm{cm}$. in diameter, or perhaps sometimes larger, with lights green tabe and stellate, greenish-white perianth; perianth-segnents cunealely narrowed below, distant throughout and not imbricate, the outer cmeate-obovate, the inner oblanceolate, all acute or shaply mucronate, spreading, sometimes undulate or twisted. Corona shortly cupular, rather small, about 10 mm . broad and 2 mm . deop, yollow with a very narrow white zone within the narrow scarlet-ied edge, margin finely plicate-crenulate. Stamens subequal, with all tho anthers more or less exserted. Style not exceeding stimens. Irruit about 15 mm . long, shortly cllipsoid or obovoich, trigonors and furrowed.

Description adopted chiefly from Haworth and Sweot.
This species, first distinguished by Clusius, is widely distributed in the mountainous regions of Austria from the 'ryol to Transylvania, in which province what appears to bo a fom of it has been described under the name of $N$. scriorflorens Schu:. Is may also occur in tho Balkan Peninsula.

Although known to Pirkinson and Eaworth, it does not seem to have been extensively grown at any period in English gavions, possibly because it does not readily llourish uncler cultivation. It is a late-flowering plant, never blooming before May, and often curing June in its natural stations.
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## 8. Narcissus poetarum Haworth.

Suffron-cupped Narcissus of Haworth.
Narcissus poctarum Haworth Mon. Narciss. 14 (1831); N. poeticus var. grandifloms Sabinc MS. ap. Herhort Amaryll. 317 (1837); N. poeticus var. poetarum Burbidge is Baker Narcinn. 85 (1875).

Bulb ovoid, rather small, about 25 mm . in diancter, outer scales greyish-brown with strongly makked dark veins. Leates crect or sometimes with drooping tips, nearly oquallind tho scape, $8-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, rather glaucous, channelled and slightly keeled. Scape $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, little compressed, obscurely striate, slemier. Spathe rathor thickly membranous, narrow and very long (equalling or exceoding 7 cm .) ; pedicel slonder, clontato. Flower strongly scented, about 7 cm . in dimmeter, with relatively short, scarcely flattoned, green tube and snow-whito perianth; periunthsegments not imbricate, much narrowed and scincely contiguous below, elliptic-obovate, subacute and sometimes mucronate, all spreading and more or less irregulamy unduhato. Corom sub. discoid, about 13 mm . broad, deep yollow sulfused thronghout with bright red, but soon becoming paler, strongly and irrogularly plicate-rugose with plicate-crenulate-dontate margin. Stamcns subequal, with all the anthers more or less oxserted; stylu exserted, a little exceeding the longor stamens. Fruit 15-18 mim. long, narrowly ellipsoid, scarcely trigonous or furrowed.

This distinct and beautiful Narcissus, which flowers in English gardens during April, is not known as a wild phant and was irst brought to notico by Haworth in 1831. As an carly-flowering member of the series Radiiflori it may bo suspected to have originated in South-austern Europe.

## 9. Narcissus exertus, nov. comb. <br> Middle flowering Narcissus of Haworth.

Narcissus exertus nov. comb.; N. majalis $\beta$ cxertus Inworth Narciss. Revis. 150 (1819) ; N. pocticus var. $\gamma$ Haworth Mon. Narciss. 15 (1831) ; N. loneipetalus Schleicher in Stendel Nononchator (nomen nudum) ; N. racliiflorus auct. nomnull, non Salish, Prod.
N. albus circulo croceo vel luteo C. Bauhin Pin. 40 (1093)?: N. medio croceus scrotimus Park. Par. 74 (1629)? N. wnifur. foliis ensiformibus scypho brevissimo Hallor Hist. n. I.: (1763).

Icon.-Redouté Liliacea, iii. 160, as N. pocticus.
Bulb ovoid, small, $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$, in diameter (wild), outer scales pale brown. Leaves erect, rathor shorter than tho scape, (f.9 mat, broad, green or glaucescent, folded below but becoming thaticnod above, obscurely keeled. Scape $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$, long, compressal ata 2-chged, striate, very slender. Spatho thinly mombanous: pedicel very slender, of variable longti. Hower scented, o-6.5 c:n, i: diameter, with slender, pale green tube and snow-white perm::\% $/$ of variable form, tinged with yellow at the base; perianthocynow:
cuneate-based or less commonly shortly narrowed and imbricale below, oiten twisted and usually not contiguous, lanceolate, elliptic, oval or obovate, more or less acute or the outer sometimes olituso and mucronate, spreading, recurved or irregularly ambulite. Corona flat and discoid, or varely slightly couvox; 12-13 mm. broad, chrome-yellow or slightly green about the centre, edgod, ats times rather broadly, with orange-red or orango (with no white zone), faintly plicate-rugose with irregularly and often obscuroly plicate-crenulate-dentate margin. Stamens subequal ; anthors all oxserted, the three lower just emerging from tho perimoth-tube. Style of variable length, sometimes equalling tho shorter stimens, sometimes exceeding the longer ones. Fruit about 15 mm. long, ellipsoid, somewhat trigonous and furrowed.
$\beta$ ornatus, nov. var.
N. pocticus var. omatus Bare in E1. of Pomol. 101. (1881) ot hort., non $N$. ormatres Haworth.

Bulbus ovoideus, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diametro. Tolia erecti, scipo paululum breviora, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lata, glaucescentia, inferno plicata sod apicem versus complanata, obscure casinata. Scufus 30-45 cm. longus, ancops, tenue striatus, gracilis, spatha modico mombranaces pedicelloque gracillimo preditus. Flores odorati, 5.5-6.5 cm. in diametro, perianthii tubo gracili pallido of segmentis nivels (basi luteo-tinctis) sæpissime obovatis, rotundato-obtusis vol exterioribus que paulo latiora sunt truncato-mucronatis, omnibus infica angustatis basin versus vix imbricatis, patentibus fere planisque vel interioribus undulatis, rarius omnibus recurvatis. Corona subdiscoidea $10-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, luten ort angusta coccine intra quam circulus perangustus albus explicatur circumscripta, margino tenuiter plicato-crenulato-serrato obscure pliento-rugosa. Stamina subcequalia, antheris omnibus plus minusve exsertis. Stylus vix exsertus, staminibus haud longior. Fructus circa 18 mm . longus, obovato-ellipsoidens, obtuse trigonus, sulcatus.

Planta originis incerte, probabiliter e Gallia Narbonensi ablata, et fortasse inter $N$. exertum et $N$. majalem hybrida.

Bulb larger than' in the type, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter. Leaves also slightly larger, glaucescent. Scape $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, fincly striate, slender, but less so than in the type; spathe moderately thick. Flower $5.5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter; outer perianth-segments more or less broadly obovate, retuse-mucronate, imner ones rather. narrower, elliptic-obovate, rounded-obtuse, all usually cuncate-based and scarcely imbricate below, spreading and newly flat or the inner waved, more rarely all recurved. Sorona sub-discoid and nearly flat, $10-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, chrome-yellow with a narrow, scarlet-red edge and, at maturity, a very narrow while ring within it; obscurely plicate-rugose with finely plicate-crenulate-serente margin. Style just exserted from the perianth-tube, not exceelling the stamens. Fruit larger than in the type, about 18 mm , lonef, obovate-ellipsoid, bhutly trigonous, furrowed. Otherwise as in the type.
N. exertus, a May-flowering species perhaps confued to Switzor. land, appears to have been distinguished by Caspar Buuhin whd hy

Haller but has been commonly identified during tho past century with $N$. radiiflorus.

Parkinson seems to have been acquainted with it as a nare gavden plant and Laworth described it from a London nursery. but there is no evidence of its having been at any timo commonly cultivated in Britain.

The variety ornatus, introduced into cultivation from tho South of France through the Paris firm of Vilmorin about the year 1870, is now one of the most abundant of gatelen Narcissi in Western Europe. Its precise origin is not known, but it may lo suspected from the colouring and fine plication of its corona, as well as the form of its fruit, to bo a hybrid of N. exerlus with N. majalis. Such a parentare, however, is rendered doubtiu! by its flowering in April instead of May, and the facility with which it may be forced into bloom still earlier. It has hitherto heen: regarded solely as a garden plant, but as it is believed to have been originally collected and probably a wild form, it cannot well be excluded from an arrangement of the forms of Eu-Narcissus.

## Explanation of Floral Peate.

(Reproduced from original drawings by R. A. Salisbury now preserved in Herb. Mus, Brit.)
Figs. 1 and 2.-Flowers of Narcissus poeticus L. (labelled tripodalis).
Fig. 3.-Flower of N. rudiiflorus Sulisbury.
Mig. 4.-Corona of N. rudiflorts, with six exserted anthers before dehiseence.
Pig. 5.-Opened corona and perinath-tube of $N$. radifforis, showing subuqual stamens.
Iig. 6.-Mature thower of $N$. patellaris Salisbury, showing anthers after dehiscence.
Fig. 7.-Younger tlower of $N$. patellaris, showing anthers before dehiscence.
Fig. S.-Corona of $N$. putcluris, with three exserted anthers,
Fig. 9.-Opened corona and perimoth-tube of $N$. patellaris, showing uneynal stamens.

## Explanation of Plate showing Fruits. (All developed in water.)

Figs. 1 and 1 a. - Fruit of cultivated Narcissus pocticus, with transverse section. Figs. 2 and 2a.-. ", "Pyrenean
Pirs. 3 and $3 a$ _
10, ",
Fius. 4 and da.- " "N. vecurous, ", ". "
Figs. 5 and 5 at.- ", "N. mujalis var. patellaris, with "transverse section.
pias 6 and 6 and stowing thickly membranous spathe.
Mgs. 6 and $6 a$ - ," ,N radiiflorus, with transverse section, und showing thinly membranous spathe.
Figs. 7 and 7a.- ", N. exertus var. ornutus, with transverse section.

